arXiv:1605.02862v1 [math.DG] 10 May 2016

HYPERSURFACES WITH CENTRAL CONVEX CROSS-SECTIONS

METIN ALPER GUR

ABSTRACT. A hypersurface $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, has the central ovaloid property, or *cop*, if

- M meets some hyperplane transversally along an ovaloid, and
- Every such ovaloid on M has central symmetry.

Generalizing work of B. Solomon to higher dimensions, we show that a complete, connected, smooth hypersurface with *cop* must either be a cylinder over a central ovaloid, or else quadric.

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

Section 1.1. **Introduction and Main Theorem.** A central set in a euclidean space has symmetry with respect to reflection through a point, called its center. A closed embedded smooth hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called an ovaloid if all its principal curvatures, with respect to the outer unit normal, are positive everywhere. An ovaloid of dimension one is also called an oval.



FIGURE 1. Ovals $\mathcal{O}_1$, $\mathcal{O}_2$, and their centrices. Only $\mathcal{O}_2$ is central

The compact transverse cross-sections of a cylinder over a central ovaloid in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, with hyperplanes are central ovaloids. A similar result holds also for quadrics, which are the level sets of quadratic polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$. Their compact transverse cross-sections with hyperplanes are ellipsoids, which are central ovaloids.

Date: May 11, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A20, 53A07, 53A15.
Key words and phrases. Quadric hypersurfaces, ovaloids, central symmetry.

Following Solomon who showed that these two kinds of examples provide the only complete smooth hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$, whose ovaloid cross-sections are central, we show that the same conclusion also holds in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$. Roughly, we will say that a smooth hypersurface $M^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, has the *central ovaloid property*, or *cop*, if

- M intersects at least one hyperplane transversally along an ovaloid, and
- Every such ovaloid is central.

Given this set-theoretic definition of *cop*, our main result can be stated as follows:

A complete, connected smooth hypersurface M^{n-1} in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, with cop *is either a cylinder over a central ovaloid, or a quadric.*

More precisely, the *central ovaloid property* and our main theorem can be stated in terms of mappings as follows:

Definition 1.1.1 (cross-cut)**.** If M^{n-1} is a smooth manifold, $F\colon M^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, is an immersion, and $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a hyperplane transversal to F, a cross-cut of F relative to H is a compact connected component $\Gamma \subseteq F^{-1}(H)$.

Definition 1.1.2 (*cop*)**.** Let M^{n-1} be a smooth manifold, and $F\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$, be an immersion, $n \geq 4$, then F is said to have the *central ovaloid property*, or, *cop*, if

- There exists at least one cross-cut whose image is an ovaloid, and
- Every such ovaloid is central.

Given this precise terminology, the main theorem can be stated as follows:

Main Theorem. *Let M^{n-1} be a smooth, connected manifold, and $F\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, be a proper, complete immersion with* cop*, then $F(M)$ is either a cylinder over a central ovaloid or a quadric.*

Section 1.2. **Historical Background.** Historically, the first theorem of this sort was proved by W. Blaschke.

Proposition. [B] *Suppose every plane transverse, and nearly tangent to, a smooth convex surface $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ intersects S along a central loop. Then S is a quadric.*

B. Solomon, in 2009, removed the convexity assumption and first considered hypersurfaces of revolution as follows:

Proposition. [S1] *Let $M^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a hypersurface of revolution. If M intersects every hyperplane nearly perpendicular to its axis of rotation in a central set, then M is a quadric.*

Using the rotationally symmetric case, Solomon then proved the $n = 3$ analogue of our main theorem in 2012.

Proposition. [S2] *Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ have the* central oval property*, i.e., M intersects some plane along an oval, and every such oval is central. Then a complete, connected, smooth surface M with* central oval property *must either be a cylinder over a central oval or a quadric.*

Section 1.3. **Overview of the proof of main theorem.** Our main result is the generalization of Solomon's result [S2] to all dimensions $n \geq 3$. The proof is not inductive, and although it is, at certain times, a direct generalization of Solomon's method, some essentially new difficulties arose. Moreover, the technical

tools required to carry on the argument are more sophisticated and the calculations are more elaborate.

As in Solomon's paper, we obtain our main result by first working the local version. If an immersed hypersurface M intersects some hyperplane along an ovaloid as our definition of *cop* requires, then some neighborhood, in M, of that ovaloid embeds into $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a tube with *cop*. The proof that these tubes are either cylindrical or quadric constitute the local version of our work. Unless noted otherwise, I denotes the open interval $(-1, 1)$.

Definition 1.3.1 (Transversely convex tube)**.** Suppose $X\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times I \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, is an embedding of the form

$$X(u, z) = (\, c(z) + \alpha(u, z), z\,) \tag{1.3.1}$$

where $c\colon I \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\alpha\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times I \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ are smooth, and for each fixed $z \in I$, the map $\alpha(\cdot, z)\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ parameterizes an ovaloid with center of mass at the origin.



FIGURE 2. Transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}$ with its rectification $\mathcal{T}^-$

A transversely convex tube is any embedded annulus that, after an affine isomorphism, can be parameterized this way. A transversely convex tube in *standard position* is the image of an embedding X of the form (1.3.1). If we discard the central curve c of a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}$ in *standard position*, we get the rectification $\mathcal{T}$, denoted $\mathcal{T}^-$, the image of

$$X^-(u, z) = (\, \alpha(u, z), z\,).$$

We say that $\mathcal{T}^-$ splits when we can separate variables:

$$\alpha(u, z) = r(z)\alpha_0(u)$$

for some functions $r\colon I \to (0, \infty)$ and $\alpha_0\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ parameterizing a fixed ovaloid. As in Solomon's paper we get a Splitting lemma:

Proposition 4.2.11 (Splitting lemma)**.** *If a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}$ in* standard position *has* cop*, then its rectification $\mathcal{T}^-$ splits.*

To obtain this Splitting lemma we derive a pair of partial differential equations satisfied by the function $h\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times I \to \mathbb{R}$ which, for each $z \in I$, yields the support

function $h(\cdot, z)$ of the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z) = \alpha(\mathbb{S}^{n-2}, z)$. Using the second PDE we cook up a strictly elliptic operator with bounded coefficients and use Harnack's inequality to get our Splitting lemma. After we are able to split the function α we use the first PDE to obtain the following local version of our main result for the rectified tube:

Proposition 4.2.12**.** *Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a transversely convex tube in* standard position *with* cop*. Then its rectification $\mathcal{T}^-$ is either*

(1) a cylinder over a central ovaloid, or
(2) affinely isomorphic to a hypersurface of revolution.

Proposition 4.3.2 (Axis lemma)**.** *Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a transversely convex tube with* cop*. Then its central curve is affine and $\mathcal{T}$ is affinely isomorphic to its rectification $\mathcal{T}^-$.*

Combining Axis lemma and [S1] we can conclude that a transversely convex tube with *cop* is either cylindrical or quadric. This local version of our main result can be stated as

Proposition 4.4.1 (Local version)**.** *A transversely convex tube with* cop *is either a cylinder over a central ovaloid or a quadric.*

The final steps of the proof of our main theorem are as follows:

Given any immersion with *cop* and a cross-cut, Lemma 3.2.2 (Tubular neighborhood) shows the existence of a neighborhood of this cross-cut foliated by diffeomorphic copies. Then Lemma 3.2.4 (Existence of transversely convex tube) shows that this neighborhood is embedded onto a transversely convex tube with *cop* about the image of the cross-cut, when that image is an ovaloid. By Proposition 4.4.1 (Local version), the tube is either cylindrical or quadric. But the boundaries of such a tube, in either case, are again images of cross-cuts and therefore, using Lemma 3.2.6 (Extension of transversely convex tube) we can push the boundaries of the annular region in M and the tubular region in $\mathbb{R}^n$ a little further. Since M is connected and complete, the extension process stops only when the annular region fills up M and the image is either a complete cylinder or a quadric.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank our advisor Bruce Solomon for giving us this project, and providing guidance. We would also like to express our gratitude to the Indiana University Mathematics Department for creating an academically challenging and supportive environment, and to the Graduate School for the financial support that made our studies possible.

Section 2. Convex Geometry and Support Function

In this section, we provide the necessary basic definitions and auxiliary lemmas from convex geometry. All definitions and lemmas come from the book of Schneider [SR]. This section ends with a computation of the support function of an ellipsoid.

Section 2.1. **Convex Sets.** In this subsection, we provide the definition of convex set, convex body, and strictly convex body.

Definition 2.1.1. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex if for every $x, y \in A$ it contains the segment

$$[x, y] = \{\, (1-\lambda)x + \lambda y \colon 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \,\}.$$

A set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a convex body if $K \neq \emptyset$, convex, and compact. By $\mathcal{K}^n$ we denote the set of all convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.1.2. $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the affine hull of A, aff$A$, is the smallest affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing A. The relative boundary, relBdry$A$, is the boundary of A relative to its affine hull.

Definition 2.1.3. A convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ is called a strictly convex body if relBdry$K$ does not contain any line segment.

FIGURE 3. K_1 is not strictly convex, K_2 is strictly convex

Section 2.2. **The Support Function.** In this subsection, we introduce the support function and list its important properties that will be relevant to us in later parts. In particular, we remark how the gradient of a support function determines the boundary points of a convex set. We also give a rigorous definition of the centrix and we end this subsection with a calculation of the support function of an ellipsoid.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\emptyset \neq K \neq \mathbb{R}^n$, be a convex body. The support function $h(K, \cdot) = h_K$ of K is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$h(K, u) = h_K(u) = \sup\{x \cdot u \colon x \in K\}.$$

Lemma 2.2.2. [SR, p.44, 45] *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then the support function h_K of K has the following properties:*

(1) h_K is sublinear.
(2) $h(\lambda K, \cdot) = \lambda h(K, \cdot)$ and $h(K, -u) = h(-K, u)$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$.
(3) Given $T \in \mathrm{O}(n)$, $h_{TK} = h_K \circ T^{-1}$.
(4) $h_{K+v}(u) = h_K(u) + u \cdot v$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all u, $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The item (1) of Lemma 2.2.2 shows that a support function is sublinear. However, the converse is also true.

Lemma 2.2.3. [SR, p.45] *If $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a sublinear function, then there exists a unique convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with support function f.*

Definition 2.2.4. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ be a convex body and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$H(K, u) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon x \cdot u = h_K(u)\}$$

is called the support hyperplane of K with outer normal u,

$$H^-(K, u) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon x \cdot u \leq h_K(u)\}$$

is called the supporting halfspace of K with outer normal u, and

$$F(K, u) = H(K, u) \cap K$$

is called the support set of K with outer normal u.



FIGURE 4. Supporting halfspace, support hyperplane, and support set

For $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $h_K(u)$ is the signed distance of the support hyperplane $H(K,u)$ to the origin.

Note that the support set of convex body does not need to be a singleton. In particular, the convex body K_1 in Figure 3 has a support set, which is a line segment. However, if the support set is a singleton then we have an important analytical consequence about the support function.

Lemma 2.2.5. [SR, p.47] *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. The support function h_K is differentiable at u if and only if $F(K,u) = \{x\}$. In this case*

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_K\big|_u = x.$$

Definition 2.2.6 (centrix)**.** Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and h_K be differentiable in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then the centrix of K is defined as

$$c_K \colon \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^n$$
$$c_K(u) = c(K,u) = \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_K(u) + \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_K(-u)}{2}$$

Note that the function $u \mapsto \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_K(u)$ and hence the centrix of K are positively homogeneous of degree zero.



FIGURE 5. The centrix $c_K(u)$

We end this subsection with a computation of the support function of an ellipsoid. This support function will play an important rule in the proof of the main theorem.

Example 2.2.7. [support function of ellipsoid] Given n positive numbers $\lambda_i > 0$ $i = 1, \dots, n$, the function $h\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$h(x) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 x_i^2\right]^{1/2}$$

is sublinear and differentiable in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. By Lemma 2.2.3 there exists a unique convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, with $h_K = h$ and

$$\operatorname{Bdry} K \supseteq \left\{ \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_K\big|_x \colon x \neq 0 \right\}$$

We want to show that the set consisting of the gradients of h_K equals the complete ellipsoid

$$E = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} = 1 \right\} \tag{2.2.1}$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then the gradient of h_K at x equals

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_K\big|_x = \frac{1}{h_K(x)}(\lambda_1^2 x_1, \dots, \lambda_n^2 x_n) \text{ and hence}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left[\lambda_i^2 x_i h_K^{-1}(x)\right]^2}{\lambda_i^2} = \frac{1}{h_K^2(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 x_i^2 = 1.$$

On the other hand let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} = 1 \text{ and choose } z \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ as}$$
$$z = \left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda_1^2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\lambda_n^2}\right) \text{ then } h_K(z) = 1 \text{ and}$$
$$\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_K\big|_z = \left(\lambda_1^2 z_1, \dots, \lambda_n^2 z_n\right) = x$$

So we can conclude that

$$\operatorname{Bdry} K \supseteq \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} = 1 \right\}$$

and since K is convex the equality must hold, and K is the convex body with boundary an origin centered ellipsoid. From now on $h_K = h$ will also be called the support function of the origin centered ellipsoid E given in (2.2.1). Given any ellipsoid $\widetilde{E}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ there exist $T \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\widetilde{E} = TE + v$, hence the support function $h_{\widetilde{E}}$ of $\widetilde{E}$ can be calculated using the properties (3) and (4) of the support function listed in Lemma 2.2.2 in the following manner:

$$h_{\widetilde{E}}(u) = h_{TE+v}(u) = h_{TE}(u) + u \cdot v$$
$$= \left(h_E \circ T^{-1}\right)(u) + u \cdot v$$

for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Section 3. Ovaloid and Tubular Neighborhood

Here, we provide the necessary basic definitions and auxiliary lemmas from multilinear algebra and differential geometry. The references for this material are the books of Federer [F], Hirsch [HM]. We end this section by sketching proofs of the existence and extension of a transversely convex tube.

Section 3.1. **Ovaloid and Support Parameterization.** In this subsection, we introduce a principal geometric object of interest, the ovaloid in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Using Hadamard's theorem [HJ, KN, p.41], in the case $n \geq 3$, we note that every ovaloid has a support parameterization. Writing the Laplacian in polar coordinates and using Lemma 2.2.5 we give an analytical expression for the support parameterization.

Lastly, we recall that ovaloids are preserved under affine isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$, find a transversely convex tube about the image of a cross-cut when that image is an ovaloid, and determine sufficient conditions for being able to extend it.

Definition 3.1.1 (Ovaloid)**.** An ovaloid $\mathcal{O}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ is a closed embedded smooth hypersurface with all principal curvatures positive everywhere with respect to the outer unit normal.

In particular, an ovaloid of dimension one, $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, also called an oval, is an embedded smooth loop in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that, given the outer unit normal vector field N and the unit tangent vector field T along $\mathcal{O}$

$$\overline{\nabla}_T N = \kappa_g T$$

with κ_g, called the geodesic curvature, is positive everywhere.

It is a simple exercise of differential and convex geometry to show that that the Gauss map of an oval is a diffemorphism and that an oval bounds a strictly convex body. The analogous statement holds in higher dimensions by a theorem due to Hadamard [HJ], with an alternate proof in [KN, p.41]. Hadamard's theorem implies that any ovaloid $\mathcal{O}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$ is the boundary of a strictly convex body and that its Gauss map is a diffeomorphism. Using this remark we can make the following definition.

Definition 3.1.2 (Support Parameterization)**.** The support parameterization of the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, that bounds a strictly convex body K is defined as the inverse of the Gauss map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma = N^{-1} \colon \mathbb{S}^{n-1} &\to \mathcal{O}^{n-1} \\ u &\mapsto \Gamma(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since Γ is bijective and for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the outer unit normal vector u to the hyperplane $H(K,u)$ at the points of $F(K,u) = K \cap H(K,u) \subseteq \mathcal{O}^{n-1}$ is also normal to the boundary $\mathcal{O}^{n-1}$, we can conclude that $F(K,u)$ must be a singleton. Now using this observation and Lemma 2.2.5 we can write

$$\{\, \Gamma(u) \,\} = F(K,u) = \{\, \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_K(u) \,\}.$$

So by the sublinearity property of the support function, introduced in item (1) of Lemma (2.2.2), and the representation of $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ in polar coordinates we can conclude that the support parameterization is also equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(u) &= \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_K(u) \\ &= \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_K(u) + h_K(u) u \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.1}$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Using the the $\star$-operator defined in [F, p.34] we get, up to a sign, a unique coordinate representation for the unit normal field defined along a smooth orientable hypersurface.

Remark 3.1.3. If $M^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a hypersurface and $x\colon U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a local parameterization of M then up to a sign the unique unit normal field along $x(U)$ is defined as

$$u \in U \mapsto \frac{\star\left(\bigwedge_{n-1} \mathrm{d}x_u\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-1}}\right)}{\left|\star\left(\bigwedge_{n-1} \mathrm{d}x_u\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-1}}\right)\right|}. \tag{3.1.2}$$

When $M^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed embedded hypersurface we let the mapping in (3.1.2) define the unit normal field pointing into the unbounded component of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus M$.

During the course of our proof of the main theorem we want to use the fact that the hypotheses and the conclusion are invariant under affine isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$. To achieve this, we use the fact that ovaloids are preserved under affine isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.1.4. *If $n \geq 2$, G is an affine isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathcal{O}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an ovaloid, then $G\mathcal{O}^{n-1}$ is again an ovaloid.*

Sketch of proof. Normal curvatures are preserved under isometries and hence ovaloids are preserved under orthogonal maps and translations. Since each invertible linear map can be written as the composition of a symmetric linear map and an orthogonal map, it suffices to show that ovaloids are preserved under invertible symmetric maps. The last claim can be easily shown by writing the ovaloid and its image locally as a graph. □

Section 3.2. **Tubular Neighborhood.** In this subsection, our main goal is to construct a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}$ about the image of a cross-cut when that image is an ovaloid. In order to achieve this goal, we first need Lemma 3.2.2 (Tubular neighborhood), which provides a neighborhood for a cross-cut foliated by diffeomorphic copies. Since the cross-sections of $\mathcal{T}$ that are close enough to the image of the cross-cut must also be ovaloids we can use Lemma 3.2.4 (Existence of transversely convex tube) to get the required tube.

Another important goal is to give sufficient condition for extensibility of a transversely convex tube. In particular, we point out in Lemma 3.2.6 (Extension of transversely convex tube) that whenever the boundary of the tube is the image of a cross-cut then one can extend the tube a little further.

Section 3.2.1. *Existence of tubular neighborhood.*

Definition 3.2.1. Given $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, define the linear function $u^*\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by $u^*(x) = u \cdot x$. For any given $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the level hyperplane $H_{u,\alpha}$ is defined by

$$H_{u,\alpha} = \{x\colon u^*(x) = \alpha\}.$$

Lemma 3.2.2 (Tubular neighborhood)**.** *Suppose M is a smooth manifold, and Σ is a compact connected embedded hypersurface of M. Assume that for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, F is transversal to $H_{u,\alpha}$ along Σ, then there exist $a > 0$ and an embedding $\psi\colon \Sigma \times [-a, a] \to M$ with the following properties:*

(1) $\psi(x,0) = x$ *for all* $x \in \Sigma$,
(2) $\big(u^* \circ F \circ \psi\big)(x,h) = h$ *for all* $x \in \Sigma$, $|h| \leq a$,
(3) $\mathrm{d}\big(u^* \circ F \circ \psi\big)(x,h) \neq 0$ *for all* $x \in \Sigma$, $|h| \leq a$.

Remark 3.2.3. According to Lemma 3.2.2 (Tubular neighborhood), Σ is a cross-cut of F relative to $H_{u,\alpha}$ and the neighborhood $U = \psi\big(\Sigma \times [-a,a]\big)$ of Σ in M is foliated by cross-cuts $\psi(\Sigma \times \{t\})$ diffeomorphic to Σ, each a level set of $u^* \circ F$.

Sketch of proof. Since the gradient $\nabla(u^* \circ F)$ does not vanish on the compact submanifold Σ we can define the vectorfield

$$X = \frac{\nabla(u^* \circ F)}{|\nabla(u^* \circ F)|^2}$$

in an open neighborhood of Σ. Using a standard flow box argument for the vectorfield X we then get the required embedding ψ. □

Section 3.2.2. *Existence and extension of transversely convex tube.*

Lemma 3.2.4 (Existence of transversely convex tube)**.** *Suppose* $n \geq 4$, *the map* $F\colon M^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *is an immersion,* $H = H_{u,\alpha}$ *is a hyperplane, and* $\Sigma \subseteq F^{-1}(H)$ *is a compact connected embedded hypersurface of* M. *If* $F(\Sigma)$ *is an ovaloid and the function* $u^* \circ F$ *has no critical point on* Σ *then* F *maps some neighborhood of* Σ *in* M *onto a transversely convex tube.*

Sketch of proof. We can compose the immersion F with the embedding ψ, obtained in Lemma 3.2.4, to get an embedding because F embeds Σ onto a simply connected ovaloid and the set of embeddings is open in the strong topology [HM, p.38]. Since the principal curvatures are continuous there exists an open neighborhood of $F(\Sigma)$ on the image of $F \circ \psi$ so that each horizontal cross section is again an ovaloid. □

Remark 3.2.5. Now suppose F, Σ are as above and $\mathcal{T}_a$ is the transversely convex tube whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 3.2.4. Note that if F has *cop* so does $\mathcal{T}_a$. Let $U_a = \psi\big(\Sigma \times [-a,a]\big)$ be the neighborhood of Σ that maps under F onto $\mathcal{T}_a$ as described in Lemma 3.2.2 and Lemma 3.2.4.

Lemma 3.2.6 (Extension of transversely convex tube)**.** *Let* $F\colon M^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *be an immersion with* cop, *and* $\Sigma \subseteq M$ *a cross-cut of* F *relative to* $H = H_{u,\alpha}$, *then given a transversely convex tube* $\mathcal{T}_a$, *with* cop, *about* $F(\Sigma)$, *where* $u^* \circ F$ *has no critical point in* U_a, *there exists* $d > 0$ *so that* $\mathcal{T}_{a+d} \supseteq \mathcal{T}_a$ *is a transversely convex tube, with* cop, *about* $F(\Sigma)$, *where* $u^* \circ F$ *has no critical point in a larger neighborhood* U_{a+d} *of* Σ.

Sketch of proof. Since each boundary of the transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}_a$ is the image of a cross-cut we can use Lemma 3.2.4 to construct a transversely convex tube about each boundary. Then it is an easy exercise to glue these two transversely convex tubes about each boundary to the tube $\mathcal{T}_a$ to get the required extension. Finally, the *cop* of F implies that the extended tube has also *cop*. □

Section 4. Proof of Main Theorem

Here, we give the proof of the main theorem. We first show that the conclusion holds locally and then use standard differential topology arguments to get the global result. The two papers that are cited, [S1] and [S2], were written by Solomon. Unless stated otherwise, we assume that $n \geq 3$ throughout this section.

Section 4.1. **Ellipsoid and Centrix.** In this subsection, we classify the support function of an origin centered ellipsoid as a function whose square is the solution of some differential equation. We define the centrix of an ovaloid and characterize central symmetry in terms of the centrix.

Section 4.1.1. *Origin centered ellipsoid.*

Lemma 4.1.1. *Let $h \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$, $n \geq 3$, be a non vanishing function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, then*

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\big[\,\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h^2 + 2(n-1)h^2\,\big](u) = 0$$

for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ if and only if h or $-h$ is the support function of an origin centered ellipsoid.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$): Define the linear differential operator L as $L = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} + 2(n-1)$, then given $h \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$ the equality $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}(Lh^2) \equiv 0$ implies $Lh^2 \equiv c$, for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The function h^2 can be written as $h^2 = h_1 + h_2$, where $Lh_1 \equiv c$, h_1 is the particular solution given by

$$h_1(x) = \frac{c}{2(n-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 \equiv \frac{c}{2(n-1)} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \text{ and}$$

$Lh_2 = 0$. The homogeneous solution $h_2 \colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}$, $h_2 \in C^2(S^{n-2})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h_2 + 2(n-1)h_2 &= 0\\ -\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h_2 &= 2(n-1)h_2\end{aligned}$$

and using the fact that the spherical harmonics are the eigenspaces of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}$ [G, p.74], we can conclude that h_2 is a spherical harmonic of degree 2 in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Therefore, h_2 must be of the form $h_2(x) = x^{\mathrm{T}}Ax$, $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ for some trace-free symmetric matrix A. Then the function h^2 equals

$$\begin{aligned}h^2(x) = h_1(x) + h_2(x) &= \frac{c}{2(n-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 + x^{\mathrm{T}}Ax\\ &= x^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\frac{c}{2(n-1)}I_{n-1} + A\right)x > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \text{ and hence}\\ B := \frac{c}{2(n-1)}I_{n-1} &+ A\end{aligned}$$

is a positive definite symmetric matrix. The set $E := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \colon x^{\mathrm{T}}B^{-1}x = 1\right\}$ is therefore an origin centered ellipsoid. There exists $P \in \mathrm{O}(n-1)$ so that

$$PBP^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1^2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{n-1}^2\end{pmatrix} \quad B^{-1} = P^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1^{-2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{n-1}^{-2}\end{pmatrix}P$$

where $\lambda_i^2 > 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and the set E equals

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \colon x^{\mathrm{T}} P^{-1} \operatorname{diag}\left[\, \lambda_1^{-2}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}^{-2} \,\right] P x = 1\right\} \\ &= \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \colon (Px)^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{diag}\left[\, \lambda_1^{-2}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}^{-2} \,\right] P x = 1\right\} \\ &= P^{-1}\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \colon x^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{diag}\left[\, \lambda_1^{-2}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}^{-2} \,\right] x = 1\right\} \\ &= P^{-1}\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \colon \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} = 1\right\} \\ PE &= \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \colon \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} = 1\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The support function of PE is calculated in Example 2.2.7 as

$$h_{PE}(x) = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 x_i^2\right\}$$

and its square satisfies for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[h_{PE}(x)\right]^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 x_i^2 = (x^{\mathrm{T}} P) B (P^{-1} x) = (P^{-1} x)^{\mathrm{T}} B (P^{-1} x) \\ \Rightarrow \left[(h_{PE} \circ P)(x)\right]^2 = x^{\mathrm{T}} B x = h^2(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$(h_{PE} \circ P)(x) = \max_{z \in PE} z \cdot Px = \max_{y \in E} Py \cdot Px = \max_{y \in E} y \cdot x = h_E(x).$$

So we can conclude that $h^2(x) = \left[h_E(x)\right]^2$, h_E is the support function of an origin centered ellipsoid and $h = h_E$ or $-h = h_E$, depending on whether the nonvanishing function h is positive or negative.

($\Leftarrow$): For any given $\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, let

$$E = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \colon \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} = 1\right\} = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \colon x^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{diag}\left[\lambda_1^{-2}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}^{-2}\right] x = 1\right\}$$

be an origin centered ellipsoid with principal axes lying on the coordinate axes and h_E the support function of E. We need to show that $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} h_E^2 + 2(n-1) h_E^2 \equiv c$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Since h_E^2 is positively homogeneous of degree 2, using the expression of the Laplacian in polar coordinates we can compute for any $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} h_E^2(u) &= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} h_E^2(u) - (n-2) \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right|_{r=1} h_E^2(ru) - \left.\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}\right|_{r=1} h_E^2(ru) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 - 2(n-2) h_E^2(u) - 2 h_E^2(u) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 - 2(n-1) h_E^2(u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} h_E^2(u) + 2(n-1) h_E^2(u) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 =: c > 0$$

holds for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Thus we can conclude that

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\big[\,\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} h_E^2 + 2(n-1)h_E^2\,\big] \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^{n-2}.$$

A general ellipsoid $\widetilde{E}$ centered at the origin is of the form $\widetilde{E} = P^{-1}E$, where $P \in \mathrm{O}(n-1)$. According to the item (3) of Lemma 2.2.2, the support function $h_{\widetilde{E}}$ satisfies

$$h_{\widetilde{E}} = h_{P^{-1}E} = h_E \circ P.$$

For each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, by applying the representation of $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}$ in general coordinates to the particular case $(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, P)$ we get

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(h_E^2 \circ P)(u) = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} h_E^2(Pu)$$

and hence the spherical Laplacian of $h_{\widetilde{E}}^2$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} h_{\widetilde{E}}^2(u) = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}(h_E^2 \circ P)(u)\\
&= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(h_E^2 \circ P)(u) - (n-2)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\bigg|_{r=1} h_E^2\big[rP(u)\big] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}\bigg|_{r=1} h_E^2\big[rP(u)\big]\\
&= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} h_E^2(Pu) - 2(n-1)h_E^2(Pu) = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} h_E^2(Pu)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} h_{\widetilde{E}}^2(u) + 2(n-1)h_{\widetilde{E}}^2(u) &= \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} h_E^2(Pu) + 2(n-1)h_E^2(Pu)\\
&= 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So we can conclude that $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\big[\,\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} h_{\widetilde{E}}^2 + 2(n-1)h_{\widetilde{E}}^2\,\big] \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. □

Section 4.1.2. *The centrix.*

Definition 4.1.2. The centrix of an ovaloid $\mathcal{O}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as the centrix of the strictly convex body K that it bounds. Namely, following Definition 2.2.6, if h is the support function of K, and hence of $\mathcal{O}$ by definition, then the centrix of $\mathcal{O}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
&c\colon \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^n\\
&c(u) = \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(u) + \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(-u)}{2} = \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\bar{u}) + \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(-\bar{u})}{2}\\
&\qquad = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{u}) + \Gamma(-\bar{u})}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathcal{O}$ is the support parameterization of $\mathcal{O}$, $\bar{u} = \frac{u}{|u|}$, and the second the equality follows because $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h$ is positively homogeneous of degree zero.

Definition 4.1.3. Given the support parameterization Γ of an ovaloid $\mathcal{O}$, we call the maps

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^+(u) &= \frac{\Gamma(u) + \Gamma(-u)}{2}\\
\Gamma^-(u) &= \frac{\Gamma(u) - \Gamma(-u)}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

the even and odd parts of Γ, respectively.

Lemma 4.1.4. *The centrix $c\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, when restricted to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, coincides with Γ^+. The centrix is constant if and only if $\mathcal{O}$ has central symmetry. In that case, Γ^- support parameterizes the origin centered ovaloid $\mathcal{O} - c_0$, where $c \equiv c_0$.*

Proof. The first claim follows directly from the definition of c and Γ^+. To prove the second claim, assume first that $c = c_0$ is constant and consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} R_{c_0}\colon \mathbb{R}^n &\to \mathbb{R}^n \\ x &\mapsto 2c_0 - x \end{aligned}$$

which is the reflection through c_0. Given $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$

$$R_{c_0}\big[\,\Gamma(u)\,\big] = 2c_0 - \Gamma(u) = 2\frac{\Gamma(u) + \Gamma(-u)}{2} - \Gamma(u) = \Gamma(-u).$$

Since $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is arbitrary, we can conclude that $R_{c_0}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ has central symmetry with center c_0. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{O}$ is central with center c_0, then for every $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$

$$c_0 = \frac{\Gamma(u) + R_{c_0}\big[\,\Gamma(u)\,\big]}{2} = \frac{\Gamma(u) + \Gamma(-u)}{2} = c(u)$$

end hence the centrix c is constant. In order to show the last claim assume that $\mathcal{O}$ has the center of symmetry c_0 and

$$\begin{aligned} &h_{\mathcal{O}} \text{ is the support function of } \mathcal{O}, \\ &\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} \text{ is the support parameterization of } \mathcal{O}, \\ &h_{\mathcal{O}-c_0} \text{ is the support function of } \mathcal{O} - c_0, \\ &\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}-c_0} \text{ is the support parameterization of } \mathcal{O} - c_0. \end{aligned}$$

According to the item (4)of Lemma 2.2.2, for every $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the support parameterization of $h_{\mathcal{O}-c_0}$ satisfies $h_{\mathcal{O}-c_0}(u) = h_{\mathcal{O}}(u) - u \cdot c_0$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}-c_0}(u) &= \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\mathcal{O}-c_0}(u) = \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\mathcal{O}(u)} - c_0 \\ &= \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(u) - c_0 = \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(u) - \frac{\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(u) + \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(-u)}{2} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(u) - \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(-u)}{2} = \Gamma^-_{\mathcal{O}}(u). \end{aligned}$$

So we can conclude that the odd part $\Gamma^-_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ support parameterizes the origin centered ovaloid $\mathcal{O} - c_0$. □

Section 4.2. **Splitting.** In this subsection, our goal is to show that the rectification $\mathcal{T}^-$ of a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}$ with *cop* is either cylindrical or quadric.

Section 4.2.1. *The support map and the height function.* Our goal is to reparameterize the tube $\mathcal{T}$ with the use of the support map. Using this new parameterization we will construct the height function z that lets us parameterize nearly horizontal ovaloid cross-sections as graphs over horizontal ovaloid cross-sections.

Definition 4.2.1. Given any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, the ϵ-tilted hyperplane is given by

$$P_{\tau,z_0}(\epsilon) = \big\{(p,z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}\colon z = \epsilon(p \cdot \tau) + z_0\big\}$$

where τ is called the tilt direction, z_0 is the e_n-intercept, and ϵ is the slope of this hyperplane along τ direction

Definition 4.2.2 (The support map of $\mathcal{T}$)**.** A transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}$ in *standard position* can be reparameterized as follows:

$$Y\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I\to\mathcal{T}$$
$$Y(u,z)=\big(\Gamma(u,z),z\big)$$

where $\Gamma\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I\to\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a smooth map such that for every $z\in I$, the map $\Gamma(\cdot,z)$ support parameterizes the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z)-(0,z)$, where $\mathcal{O}(z):=\mathcal{T}\cap H_{e_n,z}$ is the ovaloid with center of mass at $(c(z),z)$. The smooth map Γ is called the support map of $\mathcal{T}$. In particular, the construction of the map Γ is carried out as follows:

Define the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\nu\colon \mathcal{T}&\to\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\\ p=(p',p_n)&\mapsto \nu(p)=\text{outer unit normal in }\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\text{ to the ovaloid}\\ &\qquad\mathcal{O}(p_n)-(c(p_n),p_n)\subseteq\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\{0\}\simeq\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\\ &\qquad\text{at }(p'-c(p_n),0)\simeq p'-c(p_n)\end{aligned}$$

and $\bar\nu\colon \mathcal{T}\to\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I$, $p\mapsto(\nu(p),p_n)$.



FIGURE 6. Outer unit normal field ν

Using the parameterization X of $\mathcal{T}$, which is defined in the equation (1.3.1), and Remark 3.1.3 we can write

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar\nu\circ X)(u,z)=\bar\nu\big[\,X(u,z)\,\big]&=\bar\nu\big(\,c(z)+\alpha(u,z),z\,\big)\\ &=\begin{pmatrix}\text{outer unit normal in }\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\text{ to}\\ \text{the ovaloid }\mathcal{O}(z)-(c(z),z)\\ \text{at }(\alpha(u,z),0)\end{pmatrix},\quad z\Bigg)\\ &=\left(\frac{\star\Big[\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\alpha(u,z)\Big]\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)}{\Big|\star\Big[\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\alpha(u,z)\Big]\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)\Big|},\quad z\right)\end{aligned}$$

The map

$$(u,z)\mapsto\frac{\star\Big[\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\alpha(u,z)\Big]\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)}{\Big|\star\Big[\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\alpha(u,z)\Big]\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)\Big|}$$

is smooth because $\star$ is an $(n-2)$-linear map and $\partial_1\alpha$ is smooth. The map $\bar{\nu}\circ X$ is bijective because for every $z\in I$ the ovaloid $\alpha(\mathbb{S}^{n-2},z)$ has a globally defined unit normal field, and the differential has a maximal rank because for every $z\in I$

$$u\mapsto \frac{\star\Big[\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\alpha(u,z)\Big]\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)}{\Big|\star\Big[\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\alpha(u,z)\Big]\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)\Big|}$$

is a diffemorphism, which follows from a simple observation in the case $n=3$ (oval), and from Hadamard's theorem [HJ, KN, p.41] in the case $n\geq 4$. Using the inverse function theorem we can conclude that $\bar{\nu}\circ X$ is a diffeomorphism and we can define the reparameterization Y of $\mathcal{T}$ as follows:

$$Y\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I\to\mathcal{T}$$
$$Y=X\circ(\bar{\nu}\circ X)^{-1}$$

$Y(\bar{u},z)=X\big[(\bar{\nu}\circ X)^{-1}(\bar{u},z)\big]=X(u,z)=\big(c(z)+\alpha(u,z),z\big)$ where

$$\bar{u}=\frac{\star\Big[\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\alpha(u,z)\Big]\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)}{\Big|\star\Big[\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\alpha(u,z)\Big]\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)\Big|}$$

is the outer unit normal in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\simeq\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\{0\}$ to the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z)-(c(z),z)$ at $(\alpha(u,z),0)$. Or equivalently, $\bar{u}$ is the outer unit normal to the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z)-(0,z)$ at the point $(c(z)+\alpha(u,z),z)$.Therefore, if we define the map $\Gamma\colon\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I\to\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ as

$$\Gamma(\bar{u},z):=c(z)+\alpha(u,z)$$

we can conclude that $\Gamma(\cdot,z)$ support parameterizes the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z)-(0,z)$ for each $z\in I$ and

$$Y(\bar{u},z)=\big(\Gamma(\bar{u},z),z\big)$$

for each $(\bar{u},z)\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I$.

Definition 4.2.3 (The height function z)**.** For each $z_0\in I$, $\epsilon\in\mathbb{R}$, $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, we define the cross-section

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)=\mathcal{T}\cap P_{\tau,z_0}(\epsilon)$$

and its image under the projection $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},x_n)\mapsto(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})$

$$\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)\subseteq\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\{0\}\simeq\mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

The horizontal ($\epsilon=0$) cross-section, for each $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, satisfies

$$\mathcal{O}(z_0)=\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\tau(z_0,0)\equiv\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0,0).$$

Because of the transverse convexity of $\mathcal{T}$ and the proof of Lemma 3.2.4, given any $z_0\in I$, there exists $\delta_0>0$ so that for every $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, $|\epsilon|<\delta_0$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)$ is again an ovaloid. With this remark, our objective now is to show that for any given $z_0\in I$ there exist $\delta>0$ and a height function $z(\epsilon,u)$ so that for every $|\epsilon|<\delta$, $z(\epsilon,\cdot)$ lets us parameterize the ovaloid $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)$ by the map

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\to\mathbb{R}^n$$
$$u\mapsto\big(\Gamma\big(u,z(\epsilon,u)\big),z(\epsilon,u)\big).$$

We define the smooth map $G\colon \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I\to\mathbb{R}$ as

$$G(\epsilon,u,z)=z-z_0-\epsilon\tau\cdot\Gamma(u,z).$$

Consider $|\epsilon|<\delta_0$, and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u,z)\in Y^{-1}\big[\,\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)\,\big] \iff Y(u,z)\in\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)\\
&\iff \big(\,\Gamma(u,z),z\,\big)\in\mathcal{T}\cap P_{\tau,z_0}(\epsilon)\\
&\iff \big(\,\Gamma(u,z),z\,\big)\in P_{\tau,z_0}(\epsilon)\\
&\iff z=z_0+\epsilon\big[\tau\cdot\Gamma(u,z)\big]\\
&\iff G(\epsilon,u,z)=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.1}$$

Note that $G(0,u,z_0)=0$ for each $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial z}(\epsilon,u,z)=1-\epsilon\tau\cdot\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}(u,z)\quad\text{for all } z\in I \text{ implies}\quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial z}(0,u,z_0)=1.$$



FIGURE 7. Implicit function $G\circ\phi$

Fix a local parameterization (V,η) of $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and let $\phi=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}\times\eta\times\mathrm{id}_I$, then the map $G\circ\phi$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&G\circ\phi\colon \mathbb{R}\times V\times I\to\mathbb{R}\\
&G\circ\phi(\epsilon,v,z)=z-z_0-\epsilon\tau\cdot\Gamma\big(\eta(v),z\big)\\
&G\circ\phi(0,v,z_0)=0\quad\text{for all } v\in V \text{ and}\\
&\frac{\partial(G\circ\phi)}{\partial z}(0,v,z_0)=1\quad\text{for all } v\in V.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the implicit function theorem applied to $G\circ\phi$ yields the existence of an open neighborhood of $(0,v)\in V_1\times V_2\subseteq\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-2}=\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, where $V_1\subseteq(-\delta_0,\delta_0)$ is an open interval about 0, V_2 is an open subset of V, and a unique smooth function

$g\colon V_1 \times V_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(0,v) &= z_0 \\ G\circ\phi\big[\epsilon, v, g(\epsilon,v)\big] &= 0 \quad \text{for all } \epsilon \in V_1 \text{ and } v \in V_2 \\ \Rightarrow G\circ\phi\big[\epsilon, v, g(\epsilon,v)\big] &= g(\epsilon,v) - z_0 - \epsilon\tau\cdot\Gamma\big(\eta(v), g(\epsilon,v)\big) = 0 \\ G\circ\phi\big[0, v, g(0,v)\big] &= g(0,v) - z_0 = 0 \\ g(0,v) &= z_0 \quad \text{for all } v \in V_2. \end{aligned}$$

So there exists a unique smooth function $\bar{g}\colon V_1 \times \eta(V_2) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\epsilon,u) &= g\circ(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \eta^{-1})(\epsilon,u) \quad \text{and satisfies} \\ \bar{g}(0,u) &= z_0 \quad \text{for every } u \in \eta(V_2) = U_2 \text{ and} \\ G\big(\epsilon, u, \bar{g}(\epsilon,u)\big) &= 0 \quad \text{for every } \epsilon \in V_1,\ u \in \eta(V_2) = U_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ can be covered by finitely many overlapping open sets U_2^k, $k = 1,\dots,M$, by taking the intersection $\cap_{k=1}^M V_1^k$, using the uniqueness of the smooth function

$$\bar{g}_k\colon V_1^k \times U_2^k \to \mathbb{R} \quad k = 1,\dots,M$$

and by letting $(-\delta,\delta) = \cap_{k=1}^M V_1^k$ we can construct a smooth function

$$z\colon (-\delta,\delta)\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R} \tag{4.2.2}$$

that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} z(0,u) &= z_0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \\ G(\epsilon,u,z) = 0 &\iff z = z(\epsilon,u) \quad \text{for all } |\epsilon| < \delta \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \\ z(\epsilon,u) &= z_0 + \epsilon\tau\cdot\Gamma\big(u, z(\epsilon,u)\big) \quad \text{for all } |\epsilon| < \delta \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.3}$$

Using the observation in (4.2.1) we get for every $|\epsilon| < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} (u,z) \in Y^{-1}\big[\,\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)\,\big] &\iff G(\epsilon,u,z) = 0 \\ &\iff z = z(\epsilon,u) \end{aligned}$$

and hence the ovaloid $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)$ can be parameterized as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^{n-2} &\to \mathbb{R}^n \\ u &\mapsto Y\big(u, z(\epsilon,u)\big) = \big(\,\Gamma\big(u, z(\epsilon,u)\big), z(\epsilon,u)\,\big). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the projected ovaloid $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)$, for each $\tau \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $|\epsilon| < \delta$, can be parameterized as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^{n-2} &\to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u &\mapsto \Gamma\big(u, z(\epsilon,u)\big) \end{aligned}$$

Using the equation (4.2.3) we can compute the derivative for each $|\epsilon| < \delta$ and $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ as

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial\epsilon}(\epsilon,u) = \tau\cdot\Gamma\big(u, z(\epsilon,u)\big) + \epsilon\left(\tau\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Gamma\big(u, z(\epsilon,u)\big)\right)$$

if we let $\epsilon = 0$ the derivative simplifies to

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial\epsilon}(0,u) = \tau\cdot\Gamma\big(u, z(0,u)\big) = \tau\cdot\Gamma(u,z_0) \quad \text{for each } u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}. \tag{4.2.4}$$

Section 4.2.2. *The support reparameterizing map* θ_ϵ. Using a diffeomorphism of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ we will obtain the support parameterization of the projected ovaloid $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z,\epsilon)$ for each $z \in I$ and small enough $|\epsilon|$.

Definition 4.2.4. Given $\tau \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, we define the map $\tau^{\mathrm{T}}\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \mapsto \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) &= \text{orthogonal projection of } \tau \text{ onto } \mathrm{T}_u\,\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \\ &= \tau - (\tau \cdot u)u. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.5}$$

Proposition 4.2.5. *Given* $z_0 \in I$ *and* $\tau \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ *there exist* $\delta > 0$ *and a differentiable* 1*-parameter family of diffeomorphisms*

$$\theta_\epsilon\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{S}^{n-2}, \quad -\delta < \epsilon < \delta$$

such that given the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\epsilon\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} &\to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u &\mapsto \Gamma\big(u, z(\epsilon,u)\big), \text{ the composition} \\ \Gamma_\epsilon\big(\theta_\epsilon(u)\big) &= \Gamma\big(\,\theta_\epsilon(u), z\big(\epsilon,\theta_\epsilon(u)\big)\,\big) \end{aligned}$$

support parameterizes $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)$ *for each* $|\epsilon| < \delta$. *The initial map* θ_0 *is the identity map on* $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, *with the initial* ϵ*-derivative given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\theta_\epsilon}{\partial\epsilon}(u)\bigg|_{\epsilon=0} &= \big[\,u \cdot (\partial_2\Gamma)(u,z_0)(1)\,\big]\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) \\ &= \left(u \cdot \frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\right)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $(-\delta,\delta)$ be the interval obtained in Definition 4.2.2 of the height function z, then for each $|\epsilon| < \delta$ the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^{n-2} &\to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u &\mapsto \Gamma\big(u, z(\epsilon,u)\big) \end{aligned}$$

parameterizes $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times (-\delta,\delta) &\to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ (u,\epsilon) &\mapsto \Gamma\big(u, z(\epsilon,u)\big) \end{aligned}$$

is smooth. Define the smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} \nu\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times (-\delta,\delta) &\to \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times (-\delta,\delta) \\ (u,\epsilon) &\mapsto \left(\frac{\star\Big(\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\psi(u,\epsilon)\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)}{\Big|\star\Big(\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\psi(u,\epsilon)\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)\Big|},\ \epsilon\right) \end{aligned}$$

and for every $|\epsilon| < \delta$ the map

$$u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \mapsto \nu_\epsilon(u) = \frac{\star\Big(\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\psi(u,\epsilon)\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)}{\Big|\star\Big(\bigwedge_{n-2}\partial_1\psi(u,\epsilon)\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)\Big|} \tag{4.2.6}$$

which, according to Remark 3.1.3, is the outer unit normal field along the closed embedded hypersurface $\psi(\mathbb{S}^{n-2},\epsilon)$. The map $u \mapsto \nu_\epsilon(u)$ is a diffeomorphism because $u \mapsto \psi(u,\epsilon)$ parameterizes the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)$ and the outer unit normal field ν_ϵ, in (4.2.6), is a diffeomorphism as a result of a simple observation in the case

$n = 3$ (oval), and from Hadamard's theorem [HJ, KN, p.41] in the case $n \geq 4$. Since ν is bijective and its differential has full rank, by the inverse function theorem, ν is a diffeomorphism with the smooth inverse

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times (-\delta, \delta) &\to \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times (-\delta, \delta) \\ (u, \epsilon) &\mapsto \big(\theta_\epsilon(u), \epsilon\big) \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_\epsilon = \nu_\epsilon^{-1}$, $|\epsilon| < \delta$. Since θ is smooth , $\{\theta_\epsilon \colon |\epsilon| < \delta\}$ is a 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms.

For each $|\epsilon| < \delta$, since $\psi(\cdot, \epsilon)$ parameterizes $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0, \epsilon)$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ &u \mapsto \big(\theta_\epsilon(u), \epsilon\big) \mapsto \psi\big(\theta_\epsilon(u), \epsilon\big) = \Gamma\big(\, \theta_\epsilon(u), z\big(\epsilon, \theta_\epsilon(u)\big)\,\big) \end{aligned}$$

support parameterizes $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0, \epsilon)$ because the unit vector $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ is the outer unit normal to the ovaloid $\psi(\mathbb{S}^{n-2}, \epsilon) = \mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0, \epsilon)$ at

$$\psi\big(\theta_\epsilon(u), \epsilon\big) = \Gamma\big(\, \theta_\epsilon(u), z\big(\epsilon, \theta_\epsilon(u)\big)\,\big).$$



FIGURE 8. Support parameterization of $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0, \epsilon)$

When $\epsilon = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu_0 \colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \\ &u \mapsto \frac{\star\Big(\bigwedge_{n-2} \partial_1 \psi(u, 0)\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)}{\Big|\star\Big(\bigwedge_{n-2} \partial_1 \psi(u, 0)\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-2}}\Big)\Big|} = u \end{aligned}$$

because $u \mapsto \Gamma\big(u, z(0, u)\big) = \Gamma(u, z_0)$ is already the support parameterization of $\mathcal{O}(z_0) = \mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0, 0)$. So we can conclude that for each $|\epsilon| < \delta$, $\Gamma_\epsilon \circ \theta_\epsilon$ support parameterizes the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0, \epsilon)$.

Fix $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, $v \in \mathrm{T}_u \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, and choose a smooth curve $c \colon J \to \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ so that $c(0) = u$ and $c'(0) = v$. Then for every $|\epsilon| < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= u \cdot \mathrm{d}(\Gamma_\epsilon \circ \theta_\epsilon)(u)\, v \\ &= u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} (\Gamma_\epsilon \circ \theta_\epsilon)\big[c(t)\big] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} u \cdot (\Gamma_\epsilon \circ \theta_\epsilon)\big[c(t)\big]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the ϵ-derivative at $\epsilon = 0$ and using the smoothness of Γ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Big\{ u \cdot \Gamma\Big(\theta_\epsilon(c(t)), z\big(\epsilon, \theta_\epsilon(c(t))\big) \Big) \Big\} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Big\{ u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \Gamma\Big(\theta_\epsilon(c(t)), z\big(\epsilon, \theta_\epsilon(c(t))\big) \Big) \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.7}$$

$\epsilon \mapsto \Big(\theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big), z\big(\epsilon, \theta_\epsilon(c(t))\big) \Big)$ is a smooth curve on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times (-\delta, \delta)$ whose ϵ-derivative at $\epsilon = 0$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \Big(\theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big), z\big(\epsilon, \theta_\epsilon(c(t))\big) \Big) \\ &= \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big),\ (\partial_1 z)\big(0, c(t)\big)(1) + (\partial_2 z)\big(0, c(t)\big) \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big) \Big) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since $z(0, \cdot) \equiv z_0$, $(\partial_2 z)\big(0, c(t)\big) = 0$, using the equation (4.2.4) we can compute

$$(\partial_1 z)\big(0, c(t)\big)(1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} z\big(\epsilon, c(t)\big) = \tau \cdot \Gamma\big(c(t), z_0\big)$$

and hence the tangent vector equals

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \Big(\theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big), z\big(\epsilon, \theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big)\big) \Big) = \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big),\ \tau \cdot \Gamma\big(c(t), z_0\big) \Big).$$

The computation of the derivative in (4.2.7) can be continued as

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\Gamma_\epsilon \circ \theta_\epsilon)\big[c(t)\big] &= (\partial_1\Gamma)\big(c(t), z_0\big) \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon\big[c(t)\big] \Big) \\ &\quad + (\partial_2\Gamma)\big(c(t), z_0\big)\big(\tau \cdot \Gamma\big(c(t), z_0\big)\big) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Big\{ u \cdot (\partial_1\Gamma)\big(c(t), z_0\big) \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon\big[c(t)\big] \Big\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Big\{ u \cdot (\partial_2\Gamma)\big(c(t), z_0\big)\big(\tau \cdot \Gamma\big(c(t), z_0\big)\big) \Big\} \\ &= u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Big\{ \mathrm{d}\Gamma\big(c(t), z_0\big) \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \big(\theta_\epsilon\big[c(t)\big], z_0\big) \Big) \Big\} \\ &\quad + u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Big\{ \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0} \Gamma\big(c(t), z\big) \Big) \big(\tau \cdot \Gamma\big(c(t), z_0\big)\big) \Big) \\ &= u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \Gamma\big(\theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big), z_0 \big) \\ &\quad + u \cdot \Big\{ \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0} \Gamma\big(c(t), z\big) \Big) \big(\tau \cdot \Gamma(u, z_0)\big) \Big\} \\ &\quad + u \cdot \Big\{ \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0} \Gamma(u, z) \Big) \Big(\tau \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Gamma\big(c(t), z_0\big) \Big) \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Gamma\big(c(t), z_0\big) = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Gamma_0\big[c(t)\big] = \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u)v,$ we continue as

$$= u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Gamma\big(\theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big), z_0\big) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0} \left\{ u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Gamma\big(c(t), z\big) \right\} \big(\tau \cdot \Gamma(u, z_0)\big) + \left[u \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \right] \big(\tau \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v\big).$$

For each $|z| < \delta$, $\Gamma(\cdot, z)$ support parameterizes $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z, 0) = \mathcal{O}(z)$, and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Gamma\big[c(t), z\big] \in \mathrm{T}_{\Gamma(u,z)}\, \mathcal{O}(z) = \mathrm{T}_u\, \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$$
$$\Rightarrow u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Gamma\big(c(t), z\big) = 0$$

Therefore, we can continue the computation as

$$= u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \Gamma_0\big(\, \theta_\epsilon\big(c(t)\big)\, \big) + \left[u \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \right] \big(\tau \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v\big)$$
$$= u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0\big[\theta_\epsilon(u)\big]\big(\mathrm{d}\theta_\epsilon(u) v\big) + \left[u \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \right] \big(\tau \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v\big).$$

In summary, we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= u \cdot \mathrm{d}\big(\Gamma_\epsilon \circ \theta_\epsilon\big)(u) v \\ &= u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0\big[\theta_\epsilon(u)\big]\big(\mathrm{d}\theta_\epsilon(u) v\big) + \left[u \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \right] \big(\tau \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v\big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.8}$$

Note that $\Gamma_0(\cdot) = \Gamma(\cdot, z_0)$ support parameterizes $\mathcal{O}(z_0)$ and so for every $|\epsilon| < \delta$

$$\theta_\epsilon(u) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0\big[\theta_\epsilon(u)\big]\big(\mathrm{d}\theta_\epsilon(u) v\big) = 0. \tag{4.2.9}$$

When we take the ϵ-derivative of the equation (4.2.9) at $\epsilon = 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \Big\{ \theta_\epsilon(u) \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0\big[\theta_\epsilon(u)\big]\big(\mathrm{d}\theta_\epsilon(u) v\big) \Big\} \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon(u) \right] \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v + u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0\big[\theta_\epsilon(u)\big]\big(\mathrm{d}\theta_\epsilon(u) v\big) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0\big[\theta_\epsilon(u)\big]\big(\mathrm{d}\theta_\epsilon(u) v\big) = - \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon(u) \right] \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v. \tag{4.2.10}$$

Using the equality (4.2.10) in the equation (4.2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= - \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon(u) \right] \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v + \left[u \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \right] \big(\tau \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v\big) \\ &= \left[\left(u \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \right) \tau - \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon(u) \right] \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v \in \mathrm{T}_{\Gamma(u,z_0)}\, \mathcal{O}(z_0) = \mathrm{T}_u\, \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ we can replace τ with $\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)$

$$= \left[\left(u \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \right) \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon(u) \right] \cdot \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) v$$

holds for every $v \in \mathrm{T}_u\, \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Since $\mathrm{d}\Gamma_0(u) \colon \mathrm{T}_u\, \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathrm{T}_u\, \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ is an isomorphism we can conclude that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_\epsilon(u) = \left(u \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \right) \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)$$

holds for every $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. □

Section 4.2.3. *The symmetry obstruction.* Our goal is to get an analytic formulation of central symmetry. Since the constancy of the centrix is equivalent to central symmetry, an appropriate derivative of the centrix, which we call the symmetry obstruction, will provide the needed formulation.

Definition 4.2.6. Given any tilt direction $\tau \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, $z_0 \in I$, and $\delta > 0$ as in Proposition 4.2.5, define the 1-parameter family of smooth maps

$$c_\epsilon \colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$$

for each $|\epsilon| < \delta$ as

$$c_\epsilon(u) = c_\epsilon(u, z_0) = \frac{\Gamma_\epsilon\big[\theta_\epsilon(u)\big] + \Gamma\big[\theta_\epsilon(-u)\big]}{2}$$

Namely, for each $|\epsilon| < \delta$, $c_\epsilon(\cdot, z_0)$ is the centrix of the projected ovaloid $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0, \epsilon)$.

Let $\Gamma^-(\cdot, z)$ denote the odd part of $\Gamma(\cdot, z)$ for each $-1 < z < 1$ and define the maps

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi \colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} && \rho \colon \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ &\psi(u) = \Gamma^-(u, z_0) && \rho(x) = -x \\ &\bar\theta_\epsilon \colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \\ &\bar\theta_\epsilon = (\theta_\epsilon \circ \rho)(u). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.11}$$

Then we can compute for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \circ \rho)(u) = \psi(-u) = \Gamma^-(-u, z_0) &= \frac{\Gamma(-u, z_0) - \Gamma(u, z_0)}{2} \\ &= -\Gamma^-(u, z_0) = -\psi(u) = (\rho \circ \psi)(u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{d}\psi(-u) = -\,\mathrm{d}(\psi \circ \rho)(u) = -\,\mathrm{d}(\rho \circ \psi)(u) = \mathrm{d}\psi(u)$$

which can be equivalently written as

$$(\partial_1 \Gamma^-)(-u, z_0) = (\partial_1 \Gamma^-)(u, z_0). \tag{4.2.12}$$

Lemma 4.2.7. *Supose the horizontal cross-section $\mathcal{O}(z)$ of a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}$ is central about $(c(z), z)$ for each $-1 < z < 1$. Then for any tilt direction $\tau \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $z_0 \in I$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\bigg|_{\epsilon=0} c_\epsilon(u, z_0) = &\left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \cdot u\right)(\partial_1 \Gamma^-)(u, z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\big] \\ &+ \big[\tau \cdot c(z_0)\big] c'(z_0) + \big[\tau \cdot \Gamma^-(u, z_0)\big] \frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u, z_0). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.13}$$

Proof. Using the notation in (4.2.11) the centrix can be written as

$$c_\epsilon(u, z_0) = \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\Gamma\Big(\theta_\epsilon(u), z\big(\epsilon, \theta_\epsilon(u)\big)\Big) + \Gamma\Big(\bar\theta_\epsilon(u), z\big(\bar\theta_\epsilon(u), z\big(\epsilon, \bar\theta_\epsilon(u)\big)\Big)\Big\}$$

and the ϵ-derivative at $\epsilon = 0$ of the first summand equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \Gamma\Big(\theta_\epsilon(u), z\big(\epsilon, \theta_\epsilon(u)\big)\Big) \\
&= \mathrm{d}\Gamma(u, z_0)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\theta_\epsilon(u), \frac{\partial z}{\partial\epsilon}(0,u) + (\partial_2 z)(0,u)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\theta_\epsilon(u)\right]\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.14}$$

Since $z(0,\cdot) \equiv z_0$, it follows that $(\partial_2 z)(0,u) = 0$ and using the equation (4.2.4) the derivative in (4.2.14) simplifies to

$$(\partial_1\Gamma)(u,z_0)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\theta_\epsilon(u)\right] + \frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\big[\tau\cdot\Gamma(u,z_0)\big]$$

For each $z \in I$, $\Gamma(\cdot,z)$ support parameterizes the projected ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z)$ with center of symmetry at $c(z)$, $\Gamma(\cdot,z) = c(z) + \Gamma^-(\cdot,z)$, and according to Lemma 4.1.4, $\Gamma^-(\cdot,z)$ support parameterizes the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z) - c(z)$. Using the previous equality and the equation (4.2.12) we can conclude that

$$(\partial_1\Gamma)(u,z) = (\partial_1\Gamma^-)(u,z) = (\partial_1\Gamma^-)(-u,z) = (\partial_1\Gamma)(-u,z)$$

for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $z \in I$. Using these observations we can compute the ϵ-derivative of $c_\epsilon(u,z_0)$ at $\epsilon = 0$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} c_\epsilon(u,z_0) &= \frac{1}{2}\left\{(\partial_1\Gamma)(u,z_0)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\theta_\epsilon(u)\right] + \frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\big[\tau\cdot\Gamma(u,z_0)\big]\right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left\{(\partial_1\Gamma)(-u,z_0)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\bar\theta_\epsilon(u)\right] + \frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}(-u,z_0)\big[\tau\cdot\Gamma(-u,z_0)\big]\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left\{(\partial_1\Gamma^-)(u,z_0)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\theta_\epsilon(u)\right]\right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(c'(z_0) + \frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\right)\big(\tau\cdot\big[c(z_0)+\Gamma^-(u,z_0)\big]\big)\right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left\{(\partial_1\Gamma)(u,z_0)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\bar\theta_\epsilon(u)\right]\right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(c'(z_0) - \frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\right)\big(\tau\cdot\big[c(z_0)-\Gamma^-(u,z_0)\big]\big)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}\left\{(\partial_1\Gamma^-)(u,z_0)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\theta_\epsilon(u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\bar\theta_\epsilon(u)\right]\right\} + \big[\tau\cdot c(z_0)\big]c'(z_0) \\
&\quad + \big[\tau\cdot\Gamma^-(u,z_0)\big]\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.15}$$

The Proposition 4.2.5 gives the ϵ-derivatives of θ_ϵ and $\bar\theta_\epsilon$ as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\theta_\epsilon(u) = \left(u\cdot\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\right)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) = u\cdot\left[c'(z_0) + \frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\right]\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) \tag{4.2.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\bar\theta_\epsilon(u) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\theta_\epsilon(-u) = (-u)\cdot\left[c'(z_0) + \frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(-u,z_0)\right]\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(-u) \\
&= u\cdot\left[\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0) - c'(z_0)\right]\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.17}$$

Plugging the derivatives (4.2.16) and (4.2.17) into the equation (4.2.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} c_\epsilon(u,z_0) = &\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\cdot u\right)(\partial_1\Gamma^-)(u,z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\big]\\ &+\big[\tau\cdot c(z_0)\big]c'(z_0)+\big[\tau\cdot\Gamma^-(u,z_0)\big]\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0).\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 4.2.8 (The symmetry obstruction)**.** Given any tilt direction $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $z_0\in I$, $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)$ is central if and only if $c_\epsilon(\cdot,z_0)$ is constant, or equivalently,

$$\overline{\nabla}_v c_\epsilon\,\big|_u = 0$$

for every $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $v\in\mathrm{T}_u\,\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, where the vector field c_ϵ along $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ is extended radially constant in an open neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ to a vector field in an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. When $\mathcal{O}_\tau(z_0,\epsilon)$ has central symmetry for all sufficiently small $|\epsilon|>0$, which *cop* requires, we have

$$0=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}\overline{\nabla}_v c_\epsilon\,\big|_u=\overline{\nabla}_v\left[\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}c_\epsilon(\cdot,z_0)\right]_u \tag{4.2.18}$$

for every $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $v\in\mathrm{T}_u\,\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Therefore, the derivative in (4.2.18) forms an obstruction to central symmetry and hence to *cop*.

The spherical divergence of the vectorfield

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}c_\epsilon(\cdot,z_0)$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\left[\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}c_\epsilon(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)&=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}_{E_j(u)}\left[\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}c_\epsilon(\cdot,z_0)\right]_u\\&=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}c_\epsilon(\cdot,z_0)\right]_u\end{aligned}$$

where $\{E_1,\dots,E_{n-2}\}$ is the local orthonormal field constructed in Definition **??**. Since the equality above holds for all $(u,z)\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I$ we can define a function for each tilt direction $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}&f_\tau\colon\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I\to\mathbb{R}\\&f_\tau(u,z)=\mathrm{div}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\left[\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}c_\epsilon(\cdot,z)\right](u).\end{aligned}$$

The central ovaloid property of the tube $\mathcal{T}$ implies $f_\tau(u,z)=0$ for every $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, $z\in I$, and $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$.

Section 4.2.4. *The partial differential equations.* Our goal is to construct two partial differential equations satisfied by the support function of the rectified tube $\mathcal{T}^-$. These equations will have important analytical and geometric consequences.

Definition 4.2.9 (Transverse support function)**.** For each $|z|<1$, $\Gamma^-(\cdot,z)$ support parameterizes the horizontal cross-section $\mathcal{O}(z)-c(z)$ of the rectified tube $\mathcal{T}^-$.

Therefore, there exists a smooth function

$$h\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I\to\mathbb{R}$$
$$h(u,z)=u\cdot\Gamma^-(u,z) \tag{4.2.19}$$

which, for each $|z|<1$, yields the support function of the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z)-c(z)$. We call h the transverse support function of $\mathcal{T}^-$.

Proposition 4.2.10. *On a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}^-$ with central ovaloid property, the transverse support function h of $\mathcal{T}^-$ satisfies the two partial differential equations:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z)\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\big[\,\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h(\cdot,z)+(n-2)h(\cdot,z)\,\big]\Big|_u\\&+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\big[\,\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h(u,z)+(n-2)h(u,z)\,\big]\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h(\cdot,z)\Big|_u\\&+2\left\{h(u,z)\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z)\Big|_u+\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}_{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z)\big|_u}\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h(\cdot,z)\Big|_u\right\}=0\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&h(u,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\big[\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h(u,z)+(n-2)h(u,z)\big]\\&\qquad-\big[\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h(u,z)+(n-2)h(u,z)\big]\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z)=0\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.21}$$

Notation. Unless stated otherwise, we will use the following abbreviations in the rest of the section:

$$\nabla=\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\qquad \Delta=\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}$$
$$\nabla_X=\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}_X \text{ for every } X\in\varkappa(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})\qquad \mathrm{div}=\mathrm{div}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}$$
$$N=N_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \text{ the outer unit normal field along } \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$$

Proof. Our aim is to show that for an given $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, $|z_0|<1$, and $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$

$$\begin{aligned}0=f_\tau(u,z_0)=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\Bigg\{&\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)+(n-2)h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\Big|_u\\&+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[\Delta h(u,z_0)+(n-2)h(u,z_0)\right]\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\\&+2\left(h(u,z_0)\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u+\nabla_{\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\big|_u}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right)\Bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&+(\tau\cdot u)\Bigg\{h(u,z_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\big[\Delta h(u,z_0)+(n-2)h(u,z_0)\big]\\&\qquad-\big[\Delta h(u,z_0)+(n-2)h(u,z_0)\big]\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\Bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.23}$$

Since $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ is arbitrary and the expressions in curly brackets do not depend on τ we can conclude that each one of the two expressions must vanish and thus we get the two partial differential equations (4.2.20) and (4.2.21).

Let $\{e_1,\dots,e_{n-2}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathrm{T}_u\,\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Extend them to a local orthonormal frame field $\{E_1,\dots,E_{n-2}\}$ such that $E_i\cdot E_j=\delta_{ij}$ and $\nabla_{E_i}E_j\,\big|_u=0$ hold for every $i,\,j=1,\dots,n-2$.

Recall that for every $z_0 \in I$, $\Gamma(\cdot, z_0)\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ support parameterizes $\mathcal{O}(z_0)$ and $\Gamma^-(\cdot, z_0)$ support parameterizes $\mathcal{O}(z_0) - c(z_0)$ with support function $h(\cdot, z_0)$.

Throughout the proof assume that each E_j, $j = 1, \dots, n-2$, $\Gamma(\cdot, z_0)$, $\Gamma^-(\cdot, z_0)$ and N is extended radially constant in a neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ to a vectorfield in an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Using the equation (4.2.13) the function f_τ can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = f_\tau(u, z_0) &= \operatorname{div}\left[\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} c_\epsilon(\cdot, z_0)\right](u) \\ &= \operatorname{div}\left[v \mapsto \big(\tau \cdot \Gamma^-(v, z_0)\big)\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0)\right](u) + \operatorname{div}\big[v \mapsto \big(\tau \cdot c(z_0)\big)c'(z_0)\big](u) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{div}\left[v \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0) \cdot v\right)(\partial_1 \Gamma^-)(v, z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]\right](u). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the second summand vanishes and $f_\tau(u, z_0)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = f_\tau(u, z_0) = &\underbrace{\operatorname{div}\left[v \mapsto \big(\tau \cdot \Gamma^-(v, z_0)\big)\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0)\right](u)}_{①} \\ &\underbrace{+ \operatorname{div}\left[v \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0) \cdot v\right)(\partial_1 \Gamma^-)(v, z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]\right](u)}_{②} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.24}$$

The computation of the part ① of the equation (4.2.24) is carried out as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{div}\left[v \mapsto \big(\tau \cdot \Gamma^-(v, z_0)\big)\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0)\right](u) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u) \cdot \overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v \mapsto \big(\tau \cdot \Gamma^-(v, z_0)\big)\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0)\right]_u \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u) \cdot \left\{\underbrace{E_j\big[v \mapsto \tau \cdot \Gamma^-(v, z_0)\big](u)}_{①}\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u, z_0)\right\}}_{②} \\ &\quad \underbrace{+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\big[\tau \cdot \Gamma^-(u, z_0)\big]E_j(u) \cdot \underbrace{\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v \mapsto \frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0)\right]_u}_{③}}_{④} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.25}$$

The part ① of the equation (4.2.25) can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} E_j\big[v \mapsto \tau \cdot \Gamma^-(v, z_0)\big](u) &= \tau \cdot \overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\big[v \mapsto \Gamma^-(v, z_0)\big]\,\big|_u \\ &= \tau \cdot \overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\big[v \mapsto \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_v + h(v, z_0)v\big]\,\big|_u \\ &= \tau \cdot \overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)} \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u + \tau \cdot \overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\big[v \mapsto h(v, z_0)v\big]\,\big|_u \\ &= \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) \cdot \nabla_{E_j(u)} \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u + \big[(\tau \cdot u)u\big] \cdot \overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)} \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u \\ &\quad + \tau \cdot \big\{E_j\big[h(\cdot, z_0)\big](u)u + h(u, z_0)\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)} N\,\big|_u\big\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.26}$$

Recalling the fact that $\overline{\nabla} N\,\big|_u = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{T}_u\,\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}$ for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\underbrace{E_j\big[v \mapsto \tau\cdot\Gamma^-(v,z_0)\big](u)}_{①} &= \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\underbrace{\nabla_{E_j(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u}_{②}\\ &\quad+(\tau\cdot u)\underbrace{u\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u}_{③}\\ &\quad+\tau\cdot\big\{E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)u+h(u,z_0)E_j(u)\big\}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.27}$$

The part ② of the equation (4.2.27) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{E_j(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u &= \nabla_{E_j(u)}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]E_k\right]_u\\ &=\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\nabla_{E_j(u)}\Big(E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]E_k\Big)\Big|_u\\ &=\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}E_j\Big(E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\Big)(u)E_k(u)+E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)\underbrace{\nabla_{E_j(u)}E_k\,\big|_u}_{=0}\\ &=\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}E_j\Big(E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\Big)(u)E_k(u).\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.28}$$

The part ③ of the equation (4.2.27) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}u\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u &= \overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\big[N\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\,\big|_u-\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}N\,\big|_u\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\\ &=-E_j(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.29}$$

Since $\tau\cdot E_j(u)=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot E_j(u)$ the part ① of the equation (4.2.27) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&E_j\big[v\mapsto\tau\cdot\Gamma^-(v,z_0)\big](u)\\ &=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}E_j\Big(E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\Big)(u)E_k(u)+h(u,z_0)E_j(u)\right\}\\ &\qquad+(\tau\cdot u)\Big\{E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)-E_j(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\Big\}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.30}$$

In order to compute the part ② of the equation (4.2.25) we first observe that

$$\begin{aligned}E_j(u)\cdot\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0) &= E_j(u)\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=z_0}\big[\nabla h(u,z)+h(u,z)u\big]\\ &=E_j(u)\cdot\left[\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)u\right]=E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\end{aligned}$$

and then by using the equation (4.2.30) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\big[v\mapsto \tau\cdot\Gamma^-(v,z_0)\big](u)\left[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right]\\
&=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\Bigg\{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}E_j\Big(E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\Big)(u)E_k(u)+h(u,z_0)E_j(u)\right)\\
&\qquad+(\tau\cdot u)\Big(E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)-E_j(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\Big)\Bigg\}\left[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right]\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[E_j\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right]E_j\Big(E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\Big)(u)\right)E_k(u)\\
&+\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right]h(u,z_0)E_j(u)\\
&+(\tau\cdot u)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right]E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)-\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last dot product is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right]E_j(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\\
&=\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right]E_j(u)\\
&=\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u
\end{aligned}$$

So we can conclude that the part ② of the equation (4.2.25) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j\big[v\mapsto\tau\cdot\Gamma^-(v,z_0)\big](u)E_j(u)\cdot\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\\
&=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\Bigg\{\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right]E_j\Big(E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\Big)(u)\right)E_k(u)\\
&\qquad+h(u,z_0)\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.31}$$

Note that $(\tau\cdot u)$ terms vanishes and (4.2.31) is obtained because

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\right]E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)=\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\Big|_u.$$

In oder to compute the part ④ of the equation (4.2.25), which is

$$\big[\tau\cdot\Gamma^-(u,z_0)\big]\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v\mapsto\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\right]_u$$

we first expand the part ③ of the same equation as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v\mapsto\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\right]_u=\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v\mapsto\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(v,z_0)+\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(v,z_0)v\right]_u\\
&=\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v\mapsto\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\right]_u+\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v\mapsto\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(v,z_0)N_v\right]_u\\
&=\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right]_u+E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\,u+\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}N\,\big|_u
\end{aligned}$$

and when we sum over $1\leq j\leq n-2$ the dot product with $E_j(u)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v\mapsto\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\right]_u\\
&=\sum_{j-1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right]_u+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\\
&=\operatorname{div}\left[\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)+(n-2)\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\\
&=\Delta\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\,\Big|_u+(n-2)\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0}\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z)\,\big|_u+(n-2)h(u,z)\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau\cdot\Gamma^-(u,z_0)&=\tau\cdot\big[\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+h(u,z_0)u\big]\\
&=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+(\tau\cdot u)h(u,z_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the part ④ of the equation (4.2.25) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big[\tau\cdot\Gamma^-(u,z_0)\big]\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v\mapsto\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\right]_u\\
&=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0}\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z)\,\big|_u+(n-2)h(u,z)\big]\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right\}\\
&\quad+(\tau\cdot u)h(u,z_0)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0}\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z)\,\big|_u+(n-2)h(u,z)\big]
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.32}$$

Then adding the equations (4.2.31) and (4.2.32) we can conclude that the part ① of the equation (4.2.24) equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{div}\left[v\mapsto \big(\tau\cdot\Gamma^-(v,z_0)\big)\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\right](u)\\
&=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\Bigg\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0}\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z)\,\big|_u+(n-2)h(u,z)\big]\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\\
&\qquad+h(u,z_0)\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\\
&\qquad+\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\,\Big|_u\right]E_j\Big(E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\Big)(u)\right)E_k(u)\Bigg\}\\
&+(\tau\cdot u)\Bigg\{h(u,z_0)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0}\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z)\,\big|_u+(n-2)h(u,z)\big]\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2.33}
$$

Now we want to compute the part ② of the equation (4.2.24)

$$
\operatorname{div}\left[v\mapsto\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\cdot v\right)(\partial_1\Gamma^-)(v,z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]\right](u).
\tag{4.2.34}
$$

Note that $v\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\mapsto\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)$ is a vector field on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $v\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\mapsto\Gamma^-(v,z_0)$ support parameterizes the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z_0)-c(z_0)$, where for every $v\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\Gamma^-(v,z_0)}\,\mathcal{O}(z_0)-c(z_0)=\mathrm{T}_v\,\mathbb{S}^{n-2}.
$$

Thus we can conclude that $v\mapsto(\partial_1\Gamma^-)(v,z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]$ is a vector field on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\partial_1\Gamma^-)(v,z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]&=\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\Gamma^-(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v=\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\big[\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)+h(\cdot,z_0)N\big]\,\big|_v\\
&=\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v+\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}h(\cdot,z_0)N\,\big|_v\\
&=\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v+\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}h(\cdot,z_0)\big](v)v+h(v,z_0)\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}N\,\big|_v\\
&=\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v+\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}h(\cdot,z_0)\big](v)v+h(v,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(\partial_1\Gamma^-)(v,z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]\in\mathrm{T}_v\,\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, $\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v$ decomposes as

$$
\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v=\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v-\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}h(\cdot,z_0)\big](v)v
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\partial_1\Gamma^-)(v,z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]&=\Big(\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v-\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}h(\cdot,z_0)\big](v)v\Big)\\
&\quad+\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}h(\cdot,z_0)\big](v)v+h(v,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\\
&=\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v+h(v,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2.35}
$$

Therefore, the divergence in (4.2.34) can be expanded as

(4.2.36)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v\mapsto\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\cdot v\right)\left(\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_v+h(v,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\right)\right]_u\\
&=\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{E_j\left[v\mapsto\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\cdot v\right](u)\left(\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+h(u,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\right)\right\}}_{⑥}\\
&\underbrace{+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\cdot u\right)\underbrace{\nabla_{E_j(u)}\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u}_{①}\right\}}_{②+③+④+⑤}\\
&\underbrace{+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\cdot u\right)\underbrace{\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\left[v\mapsto h(v,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\right]\,\big|_u}_{⑦}\right\}}_{⑧}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact $\nabla N\,\big|_u=\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{T}_u\,\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}$ and Gauss equations [J, p.194] we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_X\nabla_Y Z-\nabla_Y\nabla_X Z-\nabla_{[X,Y]}Z&=-(S_NX\cdot Z)S_NY+(S_NY\cdot Z)S_NX\\
&=-(X\cdot Z)Y+(Y\cdot Z)X
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.37}$$

for all X, Y, $Z\in\varkappa(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})$. So if we let $X=E_j$, $Y=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}$, and $Z=\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)$ then the part ① of the equation (4.2.36) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{E_j}\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)&=\underbrace{\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}}\nabla_{E_j}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)}_{③}+\underbrace{\underbrace{\nabla_{[E_j,\tau^{\mathrm{T}}]}}_{①}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)}_{②}\\
&\underbrace{-\big[S_NE_j\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\big]S_N\tau^{\mathrm{T}}}_{④}+\underbrace{\big[S_N\tau^{\mathrm{T}}\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\big]S_NE_j}_{⑤}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.38}$$

The parts ②, ③, ④, and ⑤ of the equation (4.2.38) yield the corresponding parts of the equation (4.2.36). We will first compute the parts of the equation (4.2.38). The part ① of the equation (4.2.38) can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_j,\tau^{\mathrm{T}}](u)&=\nabla_{E_j(u)}\tau^{\mathrm{T}}\,\big|_u-\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}E_j\,\big|_u=\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\tau^{\mathrm{T}}\,\big|_u-\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}E_j\big|_u\\
&=\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\big[v\mapsto\tau-(\tau\cdot v)v\big]\,\big|_u-\underbrace{\big[\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}E_j\,\big|_u}_{=0}+\big(\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}E_j\,\big|_u\cdot u\big)u\big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\big(\tau\cdot N\big)N\big|_u - \big(\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}E_j\,\big|_u\cdot u\big)u\\
&= -\Big(\big[\tau\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}N\,\big|_u\big]u + (\tau\cdot u)\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}N\,\big|_u\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad - \Big(\underbrace{\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}E_j\cdot N\,\big|_u}_{=0} - E_j(u)\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}N\,\big|_u\Big)u\\
&= -\Big(\big[\tau\cdot E_j(u)\big]u + (\tau\cdot u)E_j(u)\Big) + \big[E_j(u)\cdot\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\big]u = -(\tau\cdot u)E_j(u).
\end{aligned}
$$

The parts ④ and ⑤ of the equation (4.2.38) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Big(S_N\big[E_j(u)\big]\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\Big)S_N\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\big] &= -\big(E_j(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\big)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\\
\Big(S_N\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\big]\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\Big)S_N\big[E_j(u)\big] &= \big(\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\big)E_j(u).
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the part ①, the part ② of the equation (4.2.38) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{[E_j,\tau^{\mathrm{T}}]}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u &= -(\tau\cdot u)\nabla_{E_j(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\\
&= -(\tau\cdot u)\nabla_{E_j(u)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}E_k\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]E_k\right)_u.
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\mapsto\Gamma^-(u,z_0)$ is the support parameterization of the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(z_0)-c(z_0)$ given by

$$
\Gamma^-(u,z_0) = \nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u + h(u,z_0)u \tag{4.2.39}
$$

and hence the part ② of the equation (4.2.36) equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla_{[E_j,\tau^{\mathrm{T}}](u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right]\\
&= \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\nabla_{-(\tau\cdot u)E_j(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\\
&= -(\tau\cdot u)\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\nabla_{E_j(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\\
&= (\tau\cdot u)\left[-\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.40}
$$

Using the equation (4.2.39) we can easily compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\cdot u &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\\
E_j\left[v\mapsto\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\cdot v\right](u) &= E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2.41}
$$

The part ⑦ of the equation (4.2.36) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\big[v \mapsto h(v,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]\,\big|_u \\
&= E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) + h(u,z_0)\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\big[v \mapsto \tau - (\tau\cdot v)v\big]\,\big|_u \\
&= E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) + h(u,z_0)\big[-\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}(\tau\cdot N)N\,\big|_u\big] \\
&= E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) - h(u,z_0)\Big[\big(\tau\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}N\,\big|_u\big)u + (\tau\cdot u)\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}N\,\big|_u\Big] \\
&= E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) - h(u,z_0)\big[\tau\cdot E_j(u)\big]u - h(u,z_0)(\tau\cdot u)E_j(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the part ⑦, part ⑧ of the equation (4.2.36) can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\cdot u\right)\overline{\nabla}_{E_j(u)}\big[v\mapsto h(v,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]\,\big|_u\right\} \\
&= \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)\big(\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot E_j(u)\big) - (n-2)(\tau\cdot u)h(u,z_0)\right\} \\
&= \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)E_j(u)\right\} \\
&\qquad\qquad + (\tau\cdot u)\left[-(n-2)h(u,z_0)\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

(4.2.42)

$$= \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\left\{\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right\} + (\tau\cdot u)\left[-(n-2)h(u,z_0)\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\right].$$

The part ③ of the equation (4.2.36) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}\nabla_{E_j}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right\} \\
&= \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}\nabla_{E_j}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u
\end{aligned}$$

since $\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}E_j\,\big|_u = 0$ and $\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}E_j\,\big|_u\cdot\nabla_{E_j(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}\big[E_j\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\,\big|_u \\
&= \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\cdot\overline{\nabla}_{E_j}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\right)_u \\
&= \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u \\
&= \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}\big[v\mapsto\Delta h(v,z_0)\big]\,\big|_u \\
&= \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\left\{\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\,\big|_u\right\}. \qquad (4.2.43)
\end{aligned}$$

The part ④ of the equation (4.2.36) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{-\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\big[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\big]\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\right\}\\
&=-\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\big[E_j(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\big]E_j(u)\cdot\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\\
&=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\left\{-\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right\}. \qquad (4.2.44)
\end{aligned}$$

The part ⑤ of the equation (4.2.36) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\big]E_j(u)\right\}\\
&=\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\big]\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot E_j(u)\\
&=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\left\{(n-2)\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right\}. \qquad (4.2.45)
\end{aligned}$$

By summing the equations (4.2.40), (4.2.43), (4.2.44), and (4.2.45) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{\left(\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\cdot u\right)\nabla_{E_j(u)}\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right\}\\
&=\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\Big\{\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\,\big|_u-\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\\
&\qquad\qquad+(n-2)\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\Big\} \qquad (4.2.46)\\
&\quad+(\tau\cdot u)\left\{-\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

The part ⑥ of the equation (4.2.36) can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{E_j\left[v\mapsto\frac{\partial\Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v,z_0)\cdot v\right](u)\Big(\nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+h(u,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\Big)\right\}\\
&=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\ \nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right\}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j(u)\cdot\left\{E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\ h(u,z_0)\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u) \left\{E_j(u) \cdot \nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)} \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u\right\} + \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} h(u, z_0) E_j \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\; E_j(u)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\; \nabla_{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)} \big[E_j \cdot \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\big]\,\big|_u + \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) \cdot h(u, z_0) \nabla \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u) \left\{\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) \cdot \nabla \big[E_j \cdot \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\big]\,\big|_u\right\} + \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) \cdot h(u, z_0) \nabla \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u$$

(4.2.47)

$$= \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) \cdot \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\; \nabla \big[E_j \cdot \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\big]\,\big|_u + h(u, z_0) \nabla \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u\right\}.$$

By summing the equations (4.2.47), (4.2.46) and (4.2.42) we find that the divergence at $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$

$$\operatorname{div}\left[v \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0) \cdot v\right) (\partial_1 \Gamma^-)(v, z_0) \big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(v)\big]\right](u)$$

equals

(4.2.48)

$$\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u) \cdot \left\{\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u, z_0) \nabla \big[\Delta h(\cdot, z_0) + (n-2) h(\cdot, z_0)\big]\,\big|_u + h(u, z_0) \nabla \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\; \nabla \big[E_j \cdot \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\big]\,\big|_u\right\}$$
$$+ (\tau \cdot u) \left\{-\big[\Delta h(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u + (n-2) h(u, z_0)\big] \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u, z_0)\right\}.$$

Finally the value of the function f_τ at (u, z_0) can be found by adding the equations (4.2.33) and (4.2.48)

(4.2.49)

$$f_\tau(u, z_0) = \operatorname{div}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} c_\epsilon(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)$$
$$= \operatorname{div}\left[v \mapsto \big(\tau \cdot \Gamma^-(v, z_0)\big) \frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0)\right](u) + \operatorname{div}\left[v \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^-}{\partial z}(v, z_0) \cdot v\right) (\partial_1 \Gamma^-)(v, z_0) \big[\tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\big]\right]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\Bigg\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0}\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)+(n-2)h(u,z)\big]\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u \\
&\qquad + 2h(u,z_0)\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\,\Big|_u + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\ \nabla\big[E_j\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)}_{①} \\
&\qquad + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\ E_j\big[E_k h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)\right)E_k(u)}_{②} \\
&\qquad + \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)+(n-2)h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\,\big|_u\Bigg\} \\
&+ (\tau\cdot u)\Bigg\{h(u,z_0)\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0}\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+(n-2)h(u,z)\big] \\
&\qquad - \big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+(n-2)h(u,z_0)\big]\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we want to expand the part ① of the equation (4.2.49) and show that it equals the part ②.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\ \nabla\big[E_j\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} E_k\big[E_j\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)E_k(u) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\Big\{\underbrace{\nabla_{E_k(u)}E_j\,\big|_u}_{=0}\cdot\nabla h(\cdot,z_0) \\
&\qquad\qquad + E_j(u)\cdot\nabla_{E_k(u)}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\Big\}E_k(u) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\left\{E_j(u)\cdot\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}\nabla_{E_k(u)}\Big(E_l\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big]E_l\Big)_u\right\}E_k(u) \\
&= \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\Big\{E_j(u)\cdot\Big[E_k\big[E_l(h(\cdot,z_0))\big](u)\ E_l(u) \\
&\qquad\qquad + E_l\big[h(\cdot,z_0)\big](u)\underbrace{\nabla_{E_k(u)}E_l\,\big|_u}_{=0}\Big]\Big\}E_k(u) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\right](u)\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}E_j(u)\cdot\Big[E_k\big[E_l(h(\cdot,z_0))\big](u)\Big]E_l(u)\right\}E_k(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\; E_k\big[E_j(h(\cdot, z_0))\big](u)\, E_k(u)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\; E_k\big[E_j(h(\cdot, z_0))\big](u)\right\} E_k(u)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\; E_j\big[E_k(h(\cdot, z_0))\big](u)\right\} E_k(u).$$

The change of order of differentiation at the last step is permissible because for every smooth function $f \in C^\infty(S^{n-2})$, $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and for every $j,\ k = 1, \dots n-2$

$$\begin{aligned} \big([E_j, E_k]f\big)(u) &= \big[\nabla_{E_j(u)} E_k\,\big|_u - \nabla_{E_k(u)} E_j\,\big|_u\big] f = 0 \\ E_j(E_k f)(u) &- E_k(E_j f)(u) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.50}$$

So we can conclude the parts ① and ② of the equation (4.2.49) are equal and now we want to show that they are also equal to

$$\nabla_{\nabla \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\big|_u} \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u. \tag{4.2.51}$$

$$\nabla_{\nabla \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\big|_u} \nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\,\big|_u = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[E_j(u)\cdot \nabla \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\,\Big|_u\right] \nabla_{E_j(u)}\big[\nabla h(\cdot, z_0)\big]\,\big|_u$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u) \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \nabla_{E_j(u)}\Big(v \mapsto E_k\big[h(\cdot, z_0)\big](v)\, E_k(v)\Big)_u$$

$$= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\Big\{E_j\Big[E_k\big[h(\cdot, z_0)\big]\Big](u)\, E_k(u) + E_k\big[h(\cdot, z_0)\big](u)\underbrace{\nabla_{E_j(u)} E_k\,\big|_u}_{=0}\Big\}$$
$$= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\; E_j\Big[E_k\big[h(\cdot, z_0)\big]\Big](u)\, E_k(u)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot, z_0)\right](u)\; E_j\Big[E_k\big[h(\cdot, z_0)\big]\Big](u)\right\} E_k(u).$$

Therefore, by replacing the parts ① and ② of the equation (4.2.49) by their common value (4.2.51) we get

(4.2.52)
$$\begin{aligned}0 = f_\tau(u,z_0) = \tau^{\mathrm{T}}(u)\cdot\Big\{&\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\nabla\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)+(n-2)h(\cdot,z_0)\big]\,\big|_u\\ &+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0}\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)+(n-2)h(u,z)\big]\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\\ &+2\left(h(u,z_0)\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+\nabla_{\nabla\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(\cdot,z_0)\,|_u}\nabla h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u\right)\Big\}\\ +(\tau\cdot u)\Big\{&h(u,z_0)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=z_0}\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+(n-2)h(u,z)\big]\\ &-\big[\Delta h(\cdot,z_0)\,\big|_u+(n-2)h(u,z_0)\big]\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(u,z_0)\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

As we mentioned in the beginning the expressions in the curly brackets do not depend on $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, $f_\tau(u,z_0)=0$ for all $\tau\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and hence these expressions must individually vanish for each $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $z_0\in I$. □

Proposition 4.2.11 (Splitting Lemma)**.** *If a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}$ in* standard position *has cop, then its rectification $\mathcal{T}^-$ splits.*

Proof. Let $h\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times(-1,1)\to\mathbb{R}$ be the transverse support function of the rectified tube $\mathcal{T}^-$ and $\Gamma^-\colon\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\times I\to\mathbb{R}^n$ be the support map of $\mathcal{T}^-$ given by

$$\Gamma^-(u,z)=\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h(u,z)+h(u,z)u.$$

If we can show that $h(u,z)=r(z)h_0(u)$ is the product of $r\colon(-1,1)\to(0,\infty)$ and the support function $h\colon\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\to\mathbb{R}$ of a fixed ovaloid, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^-(u,z)&=\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\big[r(z)h_0(u)\big]+\big[r(z)h_0(u)\big]u\\ &=r(z)\big[\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}h_0(u)+h_0(u)u\big]=r(z)\Gamma^\circ(u)\end{aligned}$$

where Γ° is the support parameterization of a fixed ovaloid.

According to Proposition 4.2.10, the transverse support function h satisfies the partial differential equation (4.2.21), which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\Delta h(u,z)+(n-2)h(u,z)}{h(u,z)}\right)=0 \text{ for every } u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \text{ and } |z|<1. \tag{4.2.53}$$

At height $z=0$, using [SR, p.119], we have

$$\Delta h(u,0)+(n-2)h(u,0)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}\frac{1}{k_i(u)}$$

for every $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, where k_i is the i$^{\text{th}}$ principal curvature of the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(0)$, $i=1,\dots,n-2$. Therefore, the equation (4.2.53) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta h(u,z)+(n-2)h(u,z)}{h(u,z)}&=\frac{\Delta h(u,0)+(n-2)h(u,0)}{h(u,0)}\\ &=\frac{1}{h(u,0)}\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}\frac{1}{k_i(u)}=:q^2(u)\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.54}$$

for every $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and $|z| < 1$. And the condition (4.2.54) is equivalent to

$$\Delta h(u,z) + (n-2)h(u,z) = q^2(u)\, h(u,z) \text{ for every } u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \text{ and } |z| < 1. \tag{4.2.55}$$

Define the operator $L = \Delta + (n - 2 - q^2)$, then the transverse support function h satisfies

$$Lh(\cdot, z) = 0 \text{ for every } |z| < 1.$$

Let $h_0 = h(\cdot, 0)$ be the support function of the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(0)$. Our aim is to show that $h(u,z) = r(z)h_0(u)$ for some positive function $r\colon (-1,1) \to (0,\infty)$ of height z.

By construction $Lh_0(u) = 0$ for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and assume that there exists $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $g \geq 0$ and $Lg(u) = 0$ for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Since h_0 is a strictly positive function, h_0 and g are continuous on a compact set, we can fix $\lambda > 0$ so that $\lambda h_0 - g > 0$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Define the positive constants $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$ as follows:

$$0 < \max_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \frac{g(u)}{\lambda h_0(u)} = t_0 \qquad \lambda_0 = \lambda t_0.$$

The subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ defined as

$$U = \big\{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}\colon \lambda_0 h_0(u) - g(u) = 0\big\}$$

is nonempty because there exists $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ with

$$\frac{g(u)}{\lambda h_0(u)} = t_0 \text{ and hence } \lambda_0 h_0(u) - g(u) = 0.$$

The subset U is also closed because $\lambda_0 h_0 - g$ is a continuous function. Now we want to show that U is also open and then conclude that $\lambda_0 h_0 = g$.

Let $u \in U$, and consider a local coordinate system (V, ϕ) of $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ about u

$$\phi\colon V \subseteq \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$$
$$\phi = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}),\ \phi(u) = 0.$$

Consider the function $(\lambda_0 h_0 - g) \circ \phi^{-1}\colon \phi(V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}$, which is smooth and satisfies $(\lambda_0 h_0 - g) \circ \phi^{-1} \geq 0$ because

$$\lambda_0 h_0(v) - g(v) = \lambda h_0(v) \left(t_0 - \frac{g(v)}{\lambda h_0(v)}\right) \geq 0$$

for every $v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$.

The operator $L = \Delta + (n - 2 - q^2)$, under the local coordinate system (V, ϕ), is strictly elliptic in the domain $\phi(W) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ for some $u \in W \Subset V$. Therefore, the operator L and the smooth function $(\lambda_0 h_0 - g) \circ \phi^{-1}$ in $\phi(W) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Harnack's inequality [GT, p.199] and hence exists a positive constant $C > 0$ depending only on $\phi(W)$ and L so that for some closed ball $\mathbb{B}^n(0,R) \subseteq \phi(W)$

$$0 \leq \sup_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,R)} (\lambda_0 h_0 - g) \circ \phi^{-1} \leq C \inf_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,R)} (\lambda_0 h_0 - g) \circ \phi^{-1} = 0$$

and the last equality holds because $\phi^{-1}(0) = u$ and $\lambda_0 h_0(u) - g(u) = 0$. So we can conclude that

$$(\lambda_0 h_0 - g) \circ \phi^{-1}\Big|_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,R)} = 0 \qquad (\lambda_0 h_0 - g)\Big|_{\phi^{-1}\left[\mathbb{B}^n(0,R)\right]} = 0.$$

For the open ball $\mathbb{U}^n(0,R)$ of radius R and centered at the origin, $\phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{U}^n(0,R)\big]$ is an open neighborhood of $u \in U$ in which $\lambda_0 h_0 - g$ vanishes identically and hence

$$u \in \phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{U}^n(0,R)\big] \subseteq U.$$

So we can conclude that $\lambda_0 h_0 = g$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$.

Now for every $|z| < 1$, $h(\cdot, z)\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a positive, smooth function satisfying $\tilde{L}h(\cdot, z) \equiv 0$. So we can conclude that for every $|z| < 1$ there exists a positive number $r(z)$ with

$$h(u, z) = r(z)h_0(u) \text{ for every } u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 4.2.12. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a transversely convex tube in* standard position *with cop. Then its rectification $\mathcal{T}^-$ is either*

(1) a cylinder over a central ovaloid, or
(2) affinely isomorphic to a hypersurface of revolution.

Proof. We show that when $\mathcal{T}$ is a transversely convex tube in *standard position*, and $\mathcal{T}^-$ is not a cylinder, then there exists a linear isomorphism that fixes the e_n-axis while making each horizontal cross-section $\mathcal{O}(z) - c(z)$ of $\mathcal{T}^-$ simultaneously spherical.

According to Lemma 4.2.11 (Splitting lemma), the transverse support function h can be written as $h(u, z) = r(z)h_0(u)$ for each $|z| < 1$ and $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. The partial differential equation (4.2.20) can therefore be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= r(z)r'(z)h_0(u)\Big\{\nabla\big[\Delta h_0\big](u) + (n-2)\nabla h_0(u)\Big\} \\ &\quad + r(z)r'(z)\Big\{\big[\Delta h_0(u)\big]\nabla h_0(u) + (n-2)h_0(u)\nabla h_0(u)\Big\} \\ &\quad + 2\Big\{r(z)r'(z)h_0(u)\nabla h_0(u) + \nabla_{\nabla r'(z)h_0(u)}\nabla r(z)h_0(u)\Big\} \\ &= r(z)r'(z)\Big\{h_0(u)\nabla\big[\Delta h_0\big](u) + 2(n-1)h_0(u)\nabla h_0(u) \\ &\qquad + \big[\Delta h_0(u)\big]\nabla h_0(u) + 2\nabla_{\nabla h_0(u)}\nabla h_0(u)\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.56}$$

If $\mathcal{T}^-$ is not cylindrical, then there exists $|z_0| < 1$, $r'(z_0) \neq 0$ and since $r(z_0) \neq 0$ we must have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \big[\Delta h_0(u)\big]\nabla h_0(u) + h_0(u)\nabla\big[\Delta h_0\big](u) + 2(n-1)h_0(u)\nabla h_0(u) \\ + \underbrace{2\nabla_{\nabla h_0(u)}\nabla h_0(u)}_{①} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.57}$$

for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$.

Let's consider the smooth function $\Delta h_0^2 + 2(n-1)h_0^2$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and calculate its spherical gradient

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla\big[\Delta h_0^2 + 2(n-1)h_0^2\big](u) = \nabla\big[\Delta h_0^2\big](u) + 2(n-1)\nabla h_0^2(u) \\ &= \nabla\big[2h_0\Delta h_0 + 2|\nabla h_0|^2\big](u) + 4(n-1)h_0(u)\nabla h_0(u) \\ &= 2\big[\Delta h_0(u)\big]\nabla h_0(u) + 2h_0(u)\nabla\big[\Delta h_0\big](u) + 4(n-1)h_0(u)\nabla h_0(u) \\ &\qquad + \underbrace{2\nabla\big[\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla h_0\big]}_{①}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.58}$$

The part ① of the equation (4.2.57) can be expanded using the orthonormal frame field $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n-2}\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\nabla_{\nabla h_0(u)}\nabla h_0(u) &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}(E_k h_0)(u)\nabla_{E_k(u)}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}(E_j h_0)\,E_j\right](u) \\ &= 2\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-2}\Big[(E_k h_0)(u)\;E_k(E_j h_0)(u)\Big]E_j(u) \\ &= 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}(E_k h_0)(u)\;E_k(E_j h_0)(u)\right]E_j(u) \\ &= 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}(E_k h_0)(u)\;E_j(E_k h_0)(u)\right]E_j(u) \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.59}$$

where the change of the order of differentiation at the last equality is possible because of the observation (4.2.50). Again by using the orthonormal frame field $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n-2}\}$, the part ① of the equation (4.2.58) can expanded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\nabla\big[\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla h_0\big](u) &= 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} E_j\big[\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla h_0\big](u)E_j(u) \\ &= 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 2\big[\nabla_{E_j(u)}\nabla h_0(u) \cdot \nabla h_0(u)\big]E_j(u) \\ &= 4\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left\{\nabla_{E_j(u)}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}(E_k h_0)\,E_k\right](u)\cdot\nabla h_0(u)\right\}E_j(u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 4\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-2}\Big\{\big[E_j(E_k h_0)(u)\,E_k(u) + (E_k h_0)(u)\underbrace{\nabla_{E_j(u)}E_k\,\big|_u}_{=0}\big]\cdot\nabla h_0(u)\Big\}E_j(u) \\ &= 4\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-2} E_j(E_k h_0)(u)\left\{E_k(u)\cdot\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(E_l h_0)(u)\,E_l(u)\right\}E_j(u) \\ &= 4\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}(E_k h_0)(u)\;E_j(E_k h_0)(u)\right]E_j(u). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.60}$$

Therefore we can conclude that

$$\nabla\big[\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla h_0\big](u) = 2\nabla_{\nabla h_0(u)}\nabla h_0(u)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-1}\nabla\big[\Delta h_0^2 + 2(n-1)h_0^2\big](u) &= \big[\Delta h_0(u)\big]\nabla h_0(u) + h_0(u)\nabla\big[\Delta h_0\big](u) \\ &\quad + 2(n-1)h_0(u)\nabla h_0(u) + 2\nabla_{\nabla h_0(u)}\nabla h_0(u) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Using Lemma 4.1.1 we can conclude that the positive function h_0 on $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ is the support function of an origin centered ellipsoid. Since the support function h satisfies $h(u,z) = r(z)h_0(u)$, the item (2) of Lemma 2.2.2 implies that every horizontal cross section $\mathcal{O}(z)-c(z)$ of $\mathcal{T}^-$ is a homothetic copy of $\mathcal{O}(0)-c(0)$. Therefore, there exists an affine isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ mapping $\mathcal{O}(0)-c(0)$ onto the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Extend this affine isomorphism to $\mathbb{R}^n$ by fixing the last coordinate and hence $\mathcal{T}^-$ is affinely isomorphic to a hypersurface of revolution in $\mathbb{R}^n$. □

Section 4.3. **Straightening the central curve.** In this subsection, we show that the central curve of a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}$ with *cop* is affine and therefore $\mathcal{T}$ is affinely isomorphic to its rectification $\mathcal{T}^-$.

The following auxiliary lemma is an important component in the proof of Proposition 4.3.1 (Axis Lemma). For a short proof see [S2].

Lemma 4.3.1 (Linearity criterion)**.** *A curve $c\colon I \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined on an open interval is affine if and only if it is locally odd, i.e., for each $b \in I$ there exists $t(b) > 0$ so that*

$$c(b+t) - c(b) = c(b) - c(b-t)$$

for each $|t| < t(b)$.

Proposition 4.3.2 (Axis lemma)**.** *Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a transversely convex tube with cop. Then its central curve is affine, making $\mathcal{T}$ affinely isomorphic to its rectification $\mathcal{T}^-$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathcal{T}$ lies in *standard position* because a general transversely convex tube, by definition, is affinely isomorphic to the one in *standard position* and the central curve is preserved under affine isomorphisms. Using Proposition 4.2.12 and the invariance of the central curve under affine isomorphisms we can further assume that $\mathcal{T}^-$ is either a cylinder or a hypersurface of revolution with $\mathbb{R}e_n$ as its axis and show that the *cop* assumption forces the central curve of $\mathcal{T}$ to be a straight line.

Cylindrical case: Assume that $\mathcal{T}^-$ is a cylinder over a central ovaloid $\mathcal{O}(0)$ at height 0. Each horizontal cross-section $\mathcal{O}(z)$ at height $z \in (-1,1)$ translates to $\mathcal{O}(0)$. Let Γ be the support parameterization of $\mathcal{O}(0)$, then a parameterization of $\mathcal{T}$ can be obtained as

$$X\colon \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times (-1,1) \to \mathcal{T}$$
$$X(u,z) = \big(c(z) + \Gamma(u), z\big).$$

Fix $b \in (-1,1)$, $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, consider the u-diameter of $\mathcal{O}(b)$, which is the line segment joining the unique two points at which $\mathcal{O}(b)$ has normal line $\mathbb{R}u$. Since Γ support parameterizes $\mathcal{O}(0)$, the endpoints of the u-diamater are $X(u,b)$ and $X(-u,b)$. The

tangent hyperplanes of $\mathcal{T}$ at $X(u,b)$ and $X(-u,b)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{T}_{X(u,b)}\,\mathcal{T} &= \operatorname{span}\Big\{\partial_1 X(u,b)\big[u^{\perp}\big],\, \partial_2 X(u,b)(1)\Big\}\\ &= u^{\perp}\oplus\mathbb{R}(c'(b),1)\\ &= (-u)^{\perp}\oplus\mathbb{R}(c'(b),1)\\ &= \operatorname{span}\Big\{\partial_1 X(-u,b)\big[(-u)^{\perp}\big],\, \partial_2 X(-u,b)(1)\Big\}\\ &= \mathrm{T}_{X(-u,b)}\,\mathcal{T}.\end{aligned}$$

Fix the u-diameter of $\mathcal{O}(b)$ as axis and tilt the horizontal hyperplane $H_{e_n,b}$ in a direction perpendicular to the axis with some small slope $\epsilon>0$ to get a new hyperplane $P_\epsilon(u)$. For sufficiently smal $\epsilon>0$, the intersection $\mathcal{O}(b,u,\epsilon):=\mathcal{T}\cap P_\epsilon(u)$ will remain a central ovaloid because $\mathcal{T}$ has *cop*.

Since $P_\epsilon(u)$ contains the u-diameter of $\mathcal{O}(b)$, the endpoints $X(u,b)$ and $X(-u,b)$ of that diameter remain on $\mathcal{O}(b,\epsilon,u)$ independently on $\epsilon>0$. Since the tangent hyperplanes to $\mathcal{T}$ at these points are parallel and their intersections with $P_\epsilon(u)$ form codimension one planes tangent to $\mathcal{O}(b,u,\epsilon)$ at $X(u,b)$ and $X(-u,b)$, those planes are also parallel.

We can conclude that the u-diameter of $\mathcal{O}(b)$ remains a diameter of $\mathcal{O}(b,u,\epsilon)$ independently on $\epsilon>0$, and hence $(c(b),b)$ remains the center of symmetry of $\mathcal{O}(b,u,\epsilon)$ for each $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and for all sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$. The center of $\mathcal{O}(b,u,\epsilon)$ remains fixed as we vary $\epsilon>0$.

Every point sufficiently close to $\mathcal{O}(b)$ on $\mathcal{T}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}(b,u,\epsilon)$ for some $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and small enough $\epsilon>0$, so that by *cop*, its reflection through $(c(b),b)$ also lies on $\mathcal{T}$. It follows that an entire neighborhood U of $\mathcal{O}(b)$ in $\mathcal{T}$ has reflection symmetry through $(c(b),b)$. In this neighborhood, pick two points p, q, which are the endpoints of a diameter of horizontal cross-section and denote the center of symmetry $(c(b),b)$ as r.

We can define the reflection through r as

$$\begin{aligned}A_r\colon U &\to U\\ x &\mapsto 2r-x\end{aligned}$$

which maps p and q respectively to p' and q', which belong to a horizontal cross-section in the neighborhood U. Since the differentials satisfy $\mathrm{d}A_r(p)=-\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{T}_p\,\mathcal{T}}$ and $\mathrm{d}A_r(q)=-\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{T}_q\,\mathcal{T}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_p\,\mathcal{T}=\mathrm{T}_q\,\mathcal{T}$ we can conclude that $\mathrm{T}_{p'}\,\mathcal{T}=\mathrm{T}_{q'}\,\mathcal{T}$. This implies that the segment $[p',q']$ is a diameter and the midpoint of $[p,q]$ is mapped to the midpoint of $[p',q']$. Since this holds in the neighborhood U of $\mathcal{O}(b)$ we can choose $t(b)>0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}c(b+t)-c(b) &= -\big[c(b-t)-c(b)\big]\\ &= c(b)-c(b-t)\end{aligned}$$

for each $0\le t<t(b)$. Since $b\in(-1,1)$ is arbitrary then by using Lemma 4.3.1 (Linearity criterion) we can conclude that c is affine.

Horizontally spherical case: Each horizontal plane $H_{e_n,b}$ cuts the tube $\mathcal{T}$ in an $(n-2)$- sphere centered at $(c(b),b)$, $b\in(-1,1)$. Let $F(b)$ denote the square of the radius of this sphere and $c(b)=(c_1(b),\dots,c_{n-1}(b))$ for each $b\in(-1,1)$.

The tube $\mathcal{T}$ has a parameterization $X(u,z)=\big(c(z)+\Gamma(u,z),z\big)$ and for any fixed $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ the function $F(z)=|\Gamma(u,z)|^2$ is smooth. Given the function F, the

tube $\mathcal{T}$ can be described as

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ (x,z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \;\middle|\; x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}),\ |z| < 1,\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x_i - c_i(z)|^2 = F(z) \right\}.$$

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and f be any function defined in a neighborhood of β. The β-translate of f is defined as $\mu_\beta f(t) = f(\beta + t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\beta^+ f(t) &= \frac{\mu_\beta f(t) + \mu_\beta f(-t)}{2} \qquad \text{is the even part of } \mu_\beta f, \\ \mu_\beta^- f(t) &= \frac{\mu_\beta f(t) - \mu_\beta f(-t)}{2} \qquad \text{is the odd part of } \mu_\beta f. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $|\beta| < 1$, $\tau \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\tau^\perp$ denote the orthogonal complemet of τ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-2}\}$, and $\bar{e}_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Since $\mathcal{T}$ has *cop*, and lies in *standard position* we can find a small slope $m > 0$, and an $\bar{e}_n$-intercept $b = b(\beta)$ such that the plane P given in the new coordinates by

$$P = \left\{ x\tau + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} y_i e_i + (mx + b)\bar{e}_n \;\middle|\; x, y_1, \dots y_{n-2} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

intersects $\mathcal{T}$ in a central ovaloid $\mathcal{O}$ with center having $\bar{e}_n$ coordinate β. From now on we will identify the curve $c(I)$, the plane P, the tube $\mathcal{T}$, and the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}$ with their coordinate representations under the basis $\{\tau, e_1, \dots, e_{n-2}, \bar{e}_n\}$ because such an identification constitutes an orthogonal isomorphism. Namely, we make the following identifications:

For the curve $c\colon I \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ given by

$$c(z) = \bar{c}_1(z)\tau + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \bar{c}_{i+1}(z) e_i$$

we let $c(z) = (\bar{c}_1(z), c^\perp(z))$, where $c^\perp(z) = (\bar{c}_2(z), \dots, \bar{c}_{n-1}(z))$. The plane P becomes

$$P = \left\{ \left(\frac{z-b}{m}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, z \right) \;\middle|\; y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

the tube is replaced with

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ (x, y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, z)\colon |z| < 1, \left[x - \bar{c}_1(z)\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left[y_i - \bar{c}_{i+1}(z)\right]^2 = F(z) \right\}$$

and lastly the ovaloid $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{T} \cap P$ is replaced with

(4.3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} &= \left\{ (y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R} \;\middle|\; |z| < 1,\ \left[\frac{z-b}{m} - \bar{c}_1(z) \right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left[y_i - \bar{c}_{i+1}(z)\right]^2 = F(z) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}\colon |z| < 1,\ |y - c^\perp(z)|^2 = \lambda(z) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda(z)$ is defined as

$$\lambda(z) = F(z) - \left[\frac{z-b}{m} - \bar{c}_1(z) \right]^2.$$

Therefore, the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{O} = \Big\{(\bar{y}, y_{n-2}, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-3} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \colon |z| < 1,\ |\bar{y} - \big(\bar{c}_2(z), \dots, \bar{c}_{n-2}(z)\big)|^2 \leq \lambda(z)$$
$$y_{n-2} = \bar{c}_{n-1}(z) \pm \sqrt{\lambda(z) - |\bar{y} - (\bar{c}_2(z), \dots, \bar{c}_{n-2}(z))|^2}\Big\}.$$


$\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \{\beta + t\}$
$\lambda(\beta + t)$
$(\bar{y}, \bar{c}_{n-1}(\beta+t)+\sqrt{\lambda(\beta+t) - |\bar{y} - (\bar{c}_2(\beta+t), \dots, \bar{c}_{n+2}(\beta+t))|^2}, \beta+t)$
$(c^\perp(\beta+t), \beta+t)$
$(\bar{y}, \bar{c}_{n-1}(\beta+t), \beta+t)$

FIGURE 9. Cross-section of the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}$

Let $L = \max\{z-\beta \colon (y,z) \in \mathcal{O}\}$, $|t| < L$, $t = z - \beta$, $\bar{\beta} := \beta - b$, $z = \bar{\beta} + b + t$, then the cross-section $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \{\beta + t\}$ of the ovaloid is an $(n-3)$-sphere centered at $(c^\perp(\beta+t), \beta+t)$ with radius $\lambda(\beta+t)$. When $t = 0$ and $z = \beta$, choose two antipodal points

$$l^+ = \big(c^\perp(\beta) + (0, \lambda(\beta)\big), \beta) \in \mathcal{O} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \{\beta\})$$
$$l^- = \big(c^\perp(\beta) - (0, \lambda(\beta)\big), \beta) \in \mathcal{O} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \{\beta\})$$



FIGURE 10. Cross-section $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \{\beta\}$

Pick the point l^+ and let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the support parameterization of $\mathcal{O}$, then there exists a unique $u_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ with $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(u_0) = l^+$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ has the center of symmetry whose $\bar{e}_n$-coordinate is β, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(-u_0)$ must also lie on $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \{\beta\}$. The ovaloid $\mathcal{O}$ has the same tangent hyperplane $u_0^\perp = (-u_0)^\perp$ at the two endpoints of the diameter connecting l^+ to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(-u_0)$. This hyperplane, when intersected with $\mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \{\beta\}$, becomes the tangent plane to $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \{\beta\}$ at l^+ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(-u_0)$. Therefore, we can conclude that $l^- = \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(-u_0)$, and the chord joining l^+ and l^- passes through the center of $\mathcal{O}$ which has coordinates $(c^\perp(\beta), \beta)$ in the (y, z) coordinate system.

Using this fact we can express the central symmetry of $\mathcal{O}$ as follows: for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(c^\perp(\beta) + y, \beta + t) \in \mathcal{O} \text{ if and only if } (c^\perp(\beta) - y, \beta - t) \in \mathcal{O}. \tag{4.3.2}$$

By using the expression of the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}$ in the (y,z) coordinate system (4.3.1) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(c^\perp(\beta)+y,\beta+t)\in\mathcal{O} \iff \mu_\beta^+F(t)+\mu_\beta^-F(t)=\mu_\beta F(t)=F(\beta+t)\\
&=\left[\frac{\bar\beta+t}{m}-\bar c_1(\beta+t)\right]^2+\left|(c^\perp(\beta)+y)-c^\perp(\beta+t)\right|^2\\
&=\left[\frac{\bar\beta+t}{m}-\mu_\beta^+\bar c_1(t)-\mu_\beta^-\bar c_1(t)\right]^2+\left|c^\perp(\beta)+y-\mu_\beta^+c^\perp(t)-\mu_\beta^-c^\perp(t)\right|^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.3.3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(c^\perp(\beta)-y,\beta-t)\in\mathcal{O} \iff \mu_\beta^+F(t)-\mu_\beta^-F(t)=\mu_\beta F(-t)=F(\beta-t)\\
&=\left[\frac{\bar\beta-t}{m}-\bar c_1(\beta-t)\right]^2+\left|(c^\perp(\beta)-y)-c^\perp(\beta-t)\right|^2\\
&=\left[\frac{\bar\beta-t}{m}-\mu_\beta^+\bar c_1(t)+\mu_\beta^-\bar c_1(t)\right]^2+\left|c^\perp(\beta)-y-\mu_\beta^+c^\perp(t)+\mu_\beta^-c^\perp(t)\right|^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.3.4}
$$

When we subtract the equation (4.3.4) from the equation (4.3.3) we get

(4.3.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\mu_\beta^-F(t)=F(\beta+t)-F(\beta-t)\\
&=\left[\left(\frac{\bar\beta}{m}-\mu_\beta^+\bar c_1(t)\right)+\left(\frac{t}{m}-\mu_\beta^-\bar c_1(t)\right)\right]^2+\left|(c^\perp(\beta)-\mu_\beta^+c^\perp(t))+(y-\mu_\beta^-c^\perp(t))\right|^2\\
&-\left[\left(\frac{\bar\beta}{m}-\mu_\beta^+\bar c_1(t)\right)-\left(\frac{t}{m}-\mu_\beta^-\bar c_1(t)\right)\right]^2-\left|(c^\perp(\beta)-\mu_\beta^+c^\perp(t))-(y-\mu_\beta^-c^\perp(t))\right|^2\\
&=4\left(\frac{\bar\beta}{m}-\mu_\beta^+\bar c_1(t)\right)\left(\frac{t}{m}-\mu_\beta^-\bar c_1(t)\right)+4\big(c^\perp(\beta)-\mu_\beta^+c^\perp(t)\big)\cdot\big(y-\mu_\beta^-c^\perp(t)\big)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that

$$
\mathcal{O}\cap\mathbb{R}^{n-2}\times\{\beta+t\}=\Big\{(y,\beta+t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n-2}\times\mathbb{R}\colon |y-c^\perp(\beta+t)|^2=\lambda(\beta+t)\Big\}
$$

where

$$
\lambda(\beta+t)=F(\beta+t)-\left[\frac{\bar\beta+t}{m}-\bar c_1(\beta+t)\right]^2>0
$$

for sufficiently small $|t|\geq 0$ because the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}$ can not contain its center of symmetry and hence $\lambda(\beta)>0$. So we can conclude that $\mathcal{O}\cap\mathbb{R}^{n-2}\times\{\beta+t\}$ is a nondegenerate sphere

$$
\mathcal{O}\cap\mathbb{R}^{n-2}\times\{\beta+t\}=\big[c^\perp(\beta+t)+\lambda(\beta+t)\mathbb{S}^{n-3}\big]\times\{\beta+t\}
$$

for small enough $|t|\geq 0$.

Pick any two distinct points $\bar y$, $\bar y'\in\mathcal{O}\cap\mathbb{R}^{n-2}\times\{\beta+t\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar y=(y,\beta+t)&=(c^\perp(\beta+t)+\xi,\beta+t)\in\mathcal{O}\\
&=\big(c^\perp(\beta)+\big[c^\perp(\beta+t)-c^\perp(\beta)+\xi\big],\beta+t\big)\\
\bar y'=(y',\beta+t)&=(c^\perp(\beta+t)+\xi',\beta+t)\in\mathcal{O}\\
&=\big(c^\perp(\beta)+\big[c^\perp(\beta+t)-c^\perp(\beta)+\xi'\big],\beta+t\big)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.3.6}
$$

for two distinct points $\xi, \xi' \in \lambda(\beta+t)\mathbb{S}^{n-3}$. The central symmetry condition (4.3.2) of the ovaloid $\mathcal{O}$ and the equations (4.3.6), (4.3.5) allow us to write the following two equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\beta^- F(t) = 2\left(\frac{\bar\beta}{m} - \mu_\beta^+ \bar c_1(t)\right)\left(\frac{t}{m} - \mu_\beta^- \bar c_1(t)\right) \\ + 2\big(c^\perp(\beta) - \mu_\beta^+ c^\perp(t)\big)\cdot\Big(\big[c^\perp(\beta+t) - c^\perp(\beta) + \xi\big] - \mu_\beta^- c^\perp(t)\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.3.7}$$

using the coordinates of $\bar y$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\beta^- F(t) = 2\left(\frac{\bar\beta}{m} - \mu_\beta^+ \bar c_1(t)\right)\left(\frac{t}{m} - \mu_\beta^- \bar c_1(t)\right) \\ + 2\big(c^\perp(\beta) - \mu_\beta^+ c^\perp(t)\big)\cdot\Big(\big[c^\perp(\beta+t) - c^\perp(\beta) + \xi'\big] - \mu_\beta^- c^\perp(t)\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.3.8}$$

using the coordinates of $\bar y'$. Subtracting the equation (4.3.7) from the equation (4.3.8) we get

$$0 = \big(c^\perp(\beta) - \mu_\beta^+ c^\perp(t)\big)\cdot(\xi' - \xi). \tag{4.3.9}$$

Since the equation (4.3.9) holds for every $\xi, \xi' \in \lambda(\beta+t)\mathbb{S}^{n-3}$ we can conclude that

$$c^\perp(\beta) = \mu_\beta^+ c^\perp(t) = \frac{\mu_\beta c^\perp(t) + \mu_\beta c^\perp(-t)}{2}$$

for small enough $|t|$ depending on $\beta \in (-1,1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow 2c^\perp(\beta) &= c^\perp(\beta+t) + c^\perp(\beta-t) \\ \Rightarrow c^\perp(\beta+t) - c^\perp(\beta) &= -\big[c^\perp(\beta-t) - c^\perp(\beta)\big]\end{aligned}$$

holds for small enough $|t|$ depending on $\beta \in (-1,1)$ and hence using Lemma 4.3.1 (Linearity criterion) we can conclude that $c^\perp$ is affine.

The curve $c\colon I \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ has coordinates $c(z) = \big(\bar c_1(z), c^\perp(z)\big)$ with respect the the orthonormal basis $\{\tau, e_1, \dots, e_{n-2}\}$ and since $c^\perp$ is affine it must be of the form

$$c^\perp(z) = (a_1 + b_1 z, \dots, a_{n-2} + b_{n-2} z).$$

Therefore, the curve c must be of the form

$$c(z) = (\bar c_1(z), a_1 + b_1 z, \dots, a_{n-2} + b_{n-2} z)$$

with respect to the basis $\{\tau, e_1, \dots, e_{n-2}\}$, and

$$= (a_1 + b_1 z, \bar c_1(z), a_2 + b_2 z, \dots, a_{n-2} + b_{n-2} z)$$

with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \tau, e_2, \dots, e_{n-2}\}$. Using e_1 as the tilt direction and the argument above we get

$$c(z) = (\bar a_1 + \bar b_1 z, \bar a_2 + \bar b_2 z, \dots, \bar a_{n-1} + \bar b_{n-1} z)$$

with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \tau, e_2, \dots, e_{n-2}\}$ and hence we can conclude that the curve $c\colon I \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is affine. □

Section 4.4. **Main theorem.**

Proposition 4.4.1 (Local version)**.** *A transversely convex tube with cop is either a cylinder over a central ovaloid or a quadric.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a transversely convex tube with *cop*. By definition $\mathcal{T}$ is affinely isomorphic to a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}_0$ in *standard position*. As central ovaloids are mapped to central ovaloids by affine isomorphisms (3.1.4), $\mathcal{T}_0$ also has *cop*. The central curve of $\mathcal{T}_0$ is affine by Lemma 4.3.2 (Axis lemma) and hence $\mathcal{T}_0$ is affinely isomorphic to a rectified transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}_1$ with *cop* in *standard position*. Using Proposition 4.2.12 we can conclude that $\mathcal{T}_1$ is either a cylinder over a central ovaloid or affinely isomorphic to a hypersurface of revolution with *cop*. As $\mathcal{T}$ is affinely isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}_1$ we can conclude that $\mathcal{T}$ is either a cylinder over a central ovaloid or affinely isomorphic to a hypersurface of revolution with *cop*. In the case when $\mathcal{T}$ is affinely isomorphic to a hypersurface of revolution with *cop* we can use [S1] to conclude that $\mathcal{T}$ is affinely isomorphic to a quadric and hence it is itself a quadric. □

Remark 4.4.2. Affine invariance of the problem means the following:

For every affine isomorphism $G\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $G \circ F$ is again a proper, complete, immersion with cop if F has these properties and $(G \circ F)$ is again a cylinder or a quadric depending on whether $F(M)$ is a cylinder or a quadric.

Main Theorem. *Let M^{n-1} be a smooth, connected, manifold, and $F\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, be a proper, complete, immersion with cop, then $F(M)$ is either a cylinder over a central ovaloid or a quadric.*

Proof. According to the *cop* Definition 1.1.2, there exists a cross-cut $\Gamma \subseteq F^{-1}(H)$ so that $F(\Gamma)$ is an ovaloid. By of the affine invariance of the problem we can assume that $H = H_{e_n,0}$ and use Lemma 3.2.4 (Existence of transversely convex tube) to construct a transversely convex tube with *cop* about $F(\Gamma)$ with the following properties:



FIGURE 11. Transversely convex tube about $F(\Gamma)$

There consequently exist minimal and maximal heights $-\infty \leq A < 0 < B \leq \infty$ so that

(1) $e_n^* \circ F$ has no critical point in $U_{a,b}$
(2) F embeds $U_{a,b}$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a transversely convex tube with *cop*

for each $A < a < 0 < b < B$.

Now we consider three cases according to whether both, neither, or exactly one of the endpoints A and B are finite.

Case 1: $(-\infty < \mathbf{A} < \mathbf{B} < \infty)$ In this case, $e_n^* \circ F$ has no critical point in $U_{A,B}$, $\psi_{A,B}$ is an embedding and F embeds $U_{A,B} = \psi(\Gamma \times (A,B))$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}_{A,B}$ with *cop*. Therefore, using Proposition 4.4.1 (Local version), we can conclude that $\mathcal{T}_{A,B}$ is either a cylinder over a central ovaloid, or a quadric, and because of the affine invariance of the problem we can further assume that $\mathbb{R}e_n$ is the axis of $\mathcal{T}_{A,B}$. Assume first that $\mathcal{T}_{A,B}$ is a cylinder. Our aim is to show that this is not possible by producing a contradiction to the choice of maximal and minimal heights.

Since $\psi_{A,B}$ is an embedding and $\dim\big[\Gamma \times (A,B)\big] = \dim M$, using the inverse function theorem we can conclude that it is a diffeomorphism onto its image, which is an open subset of M. Define the set Γ_B as

$$\Gamma_B = \Big\{ \lim_{k\to\infty} F^{-1}(p_k) \colon \{p_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{A,B} \text{ Cauchy sequence, } e_n^*(p_k) \to B^- \Big\}.$$

We eventually want to show that Γ_B is a submanifold. But we first argue that the set Γ_B exists, is compact and belongs to the boundary of $\psi_{A,B}(\Gamma \times (A,B))$.

- The limit $\lim_k F^{-1}(p_k)$ exists:

Fix a Cauchy sequence $\{p_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{A,B}$ with $e_n^*(p_k) \to B^-$, $\epsilon > 0$, then there exist $I \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_{ij} \colon J_{ij} \to \mathcal{T}_{A,B}$ smooth curve connecting p_i to p_j so that for each i and $j \geq I$

$$\int_{J_{ij}} |\dot{\alpha}_{ij}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}^1 t < \epsilon \text{ and define for each } i,\, j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ the curve}$$
$$\beta_{ij} \colon J_{ij} \to M \quad \beta_{ij}(t) := F^{-1} \circ \alpha_{ij}(t).$$

Then the distance between the points $F^{-1}(p_i)$ and $F^{-1}(p_j)$ has a bound

$$\operatorname{dist}_M\big[F^{-1}(p_i), F^{-1}(p_j)\big] \leq \operatorname{length} \beta_{ij} = \operatorname{length} \alpha_{ij} < \epsilon$$

whenever $i,\, j \geq I$ because M has the pullback metric. Therefore, $\{F^{-1}(p_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence and the limit $\lim_k F^{-1}(p_k)$ exists because $(M, \operatorname{dist}_M)$ is a complete metric space, which follows because F is a complete immersion.

- $\Gamma_B = \operatorname{Clos}\big[\psi_{A,B}(\Gamma \times (A,B))\big] \cap F^{-1}\big[\mathcal{O}(B)\big]$ and it is compact.

Take $x \in \Gamma_B$, then $x = \lim_k F^{-1}(p_k)$ and hence $x \in \operatorname{Clos}\big[\psi_{A,B}(\Gamma \times (A,B))\big]$, $F(x) = \lim_k p_k$, $e_n^*\big[F(x)\big] = B$. This implies that $F(x) \in \mathcal{O}(B)$ and we get one inclusion. On the other hand, if we take $F(x) \in \mathcal{O}(B)$, $x = \lim_k x_k$ with $x_k = \psi_{A,B}(x_k', h_k)$, $x_k' \in \Gamma$, $h_k \to B^-$, then $p_k = F \circ \psi_{A,B}(x_k', h_k)$ is a Cauchy sequence, $e_n^*(p_k) = h_k \to B^-$ and $F^{-1}(p_k) = x_k \to x \in \Gamma_B$. Therefore, the equality holds and the set Γ_B is compact because F is a proper map. Moreover the definition of Γ_B implies that $F(\Gamma_B) = \mathcal{O}(B)$. A similar argument shows that

$$\Gamma_A = \operatorname{Clos}\big[\psi_{A,B}(\Gamma \times (A,B))\big] \cap F^{-1}\big[\mathcal{O}(A)\big]$$

is compact and we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Bdry} \psi_{A,B}(\Gamma \times (A,B)) &= \operatorname{Clos}\big[\psi_{A,B}(\Gamma \times (A,B))\big] \setminus \psi_{A,B}(\Gamma \times (A,B)) \\ &= \Gamma_A \cup \Gamma_B. \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to show that Γ_B is a submanifold of M of dimension $n-2$ and conclude that Γ_B is a cross-cut of F relative to $H_{e_n,B}$. This conclusion, together

with Lemma 3.2.6 (Extension of transversely convex tube) will contradict the maximality of B. Because of the affine invariance of the problem we can assume that $B = 0$, $A < 0$ and denote the map $\psi_{A,0}$ as ψ.

Note that because $\mathcal{T}_{A,0}$ is a cylinder, for every $x \in \Gamma_0$

$$\mathrm{T}_{F(x)}\, H_{e_n,0} + \mathrm{d}F_x(\mathrm{T}_x\, M) = \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and hence } \begin{pmatrix} e_n \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ e_n \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n-1}}(x) \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

hence $\nabla(e_n^* \circ F)\big|_x \neq 0$. Therefore, we can conclude that $\nabla e_n^* \circ F$ does not vanish on the compact set $\Gamma_0 = \psi(\Gamma \times \{0\})$ and we can define the vectorfield

$$Y = \frac{\nabla e_n^* \circ F}{|\nabla e_n^* \circ F|^2}$$

in an open neighborhood V_0 of Γ_0. Using the existence and uniqueness of flow box for Y we obtain a triple (V_1, a, ϕ), where

(1) $V_1 \subseteq V_0$ is an open neighborhood of Γ_0 in M

(2) $\phi\colon (-a, a) \times V_1 \to V_0 \subseteq M$ is smooth

(3) For each $q \in V_1$, $t \to c_q(t) = \phi(t, q)$ is the integral curve of Y and $c_q(0) = q$

(4) For each $|t| < a$, $\phi_t\colon V_1 \to V_0 \subseteq M$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image.

For each $q \in V_1$ the curve c_q satisfies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(e_n^* \circ F \circ c_q)(t) = \mathrm{d}(e_n^* \circ F)\big[c_q(t)\big] Y(c_q(t)) = 1 \tag{4.4.1}$$

for each $|t| < a$. Therefore, for each $q \in V_1$ the height function $e_n^* \circ F \circ c_q$ has no local maximum or minimum in $(-a, a)$.



FIGURE 12. Foliation by cross-cuts $\{\Gamma_t\}_{c \le t \le 0}$

Choose $c \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $-a < c < 0$, $A < c$, and $-c = |c| < \mathrm{dist}_M(\Gamma_0, M \setminus V_1)$. Define the submanifold Γ_c of M, which is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(c)$ as

$$\Gamma_c = \big[F \mid \psi(\Gamma \times (A, 0))\big]^{-1} \mathcal{O}(c).$$

Its dist_M-distance to Γ_0 satisfies

$$\mathrm{dist}_M(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_c) \le \mathrm{dist}\big[\mathcal{O}(0), \mathcal{O}(c)\big] = |c| < \mathrm{dist}_M(\Gamma_0, M \setminus V_1)$$

and hence we can conclude that $\Gamma_c \subseteq V_1$. Similarly for every $0 \leq s < |c|$ the submanifold Γ_{c+s} satisfies $\Gamma_{c+s} \subseteq V_1 \cap \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0))$.

- For each $0 \leq s < |c|$, the diffeomorphism ϕ_s maps Γ_c onto Γ_{c+s}. First note that $\phi_{-s} = \phi_s^{-1}$ and hence it suffices to show that $\phi_s(\Gamma_c) \subseteq \Gamma_{c+s}$. Let $x \in \Gamma_c$ be arbitrary, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_s(x) \in \Gamma_{c+s} &\iff \phi_s(x) \in \big[F \mid \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0))\big]^{-1}\mathcal{O}(c+s) \\
&\iff \phi_s(x) \in \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0)) \text{ and } (F \circ \phi_s)(x) \in \mathcal{O}(c+s) \\
&\iff \phi_s(x) \in \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0)).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equivalence is true because $\phi_s(x) \in \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0))$ implies that its F image $(F \circ \phi_s)(x) \in \mathcal{T}_{A,0}$ and $e_n^* \circ F \circ \phi_s(x) = c + s$ yields $\phi_s(x) \in \Gamma_{c+s}$. By definition $\phi_s(x) \in \operatorname{im}\big(c_x \,|\, [0,s]\big)$, and if this image is not a subset of $\psi(\Gamma \times (A,0))$ then there must exist $0 < s_0 < s$ so that $c_x(s_0) \in \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_A$, which implies the existence of a local extremum in $(0,s)$. This contradicts the observation in (4.4.1) and hence we can conclude that $\phi_s(x) \in \Gamma_{c+s}$.

- Assume that there exists $q \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \phi_{-c}(\Gamma_c)$, then there is an open neighborhood W of q with $\operatorname{dist}_M\big[W, \phi_{-c}(\Gamma_c)\big] > 0$. Choose $0 < \epsilon < |c|$ so that for each $|s| < \epsilon$ $\operatorname{dist}_M\big[W, \phi_{-c+s}(\Gamma_c)\big] > 0$. Then for each $-\epsilon < s < 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[\, W \cap \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0)) \,\big] \cap \phi_{-c+s}(\Gamma_c) &= \emptyset \\
\big[\, W \cap \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0) \,\big] \cap \Gamma_s &= \emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

and $F\big[W \cap \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0))\big] \cap F(\Gamma_s) = \emptyset$ because $F \,|\, \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0))$ is a bijection onto its image. Therefore, we must have for each $-\epsilon < s < 0$

$$F\big[W \cap \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0))\big] \cap \mathcal{O}(s) = \emptyset$$

which is not possible. This implies that $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \phi_{-c}(\Gamma_c)$.

- Let $x \in \Gamma_c$ be arbitrary and consider the limit

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{-c}(x) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \phi_{-c-1/k}(x) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \phi(-c - 1/k, x) \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \psi(x_k, -1/k)
\end{aligned}$$

for some sequence $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in Γ and hence we have

$$\phi_{-c}(x) \in \operatorname{Clos}\big[\, \psi(\Gamma \times (A,0)) \,\big] \cap F^{-1}\big[\mathcal{O}(0)\big] = \Gamma_0.$$

So we get $\Gamma_0 = \phi_{-c}(\Gamma_c)$ and since ϕ_{-c} is a diffeomorphism and Γ_c is an $n-2$ dimensional compact connected submanifold of M we can conclude that Γ_0 is also an $n-2$ dimensional compact connected submanifold of M. Therefore, using Lemma 3.2.2 (Tubular neighborhood) we can conclude that Γ_0 is actually a cross-cut and Lemma 3.2.4 (Existence of transversely convex tube) shows that there exists a transversely convex tube about $F(\Gamma_0) = \mathcal{O}(0)$. Finally, Lemma 3.2.6 (Extension of transversely convex tube) implies that tube about $\mathcal{O}(0)$ extends $\mathcal{T}_{A,0}$, contradicting the maximality of $B = 0$.

It follows that the transversely convex tube $\mathcal{T}_{A,B}$ is a quadric hypersurface of revolution about the e_n-axis. Therefore there exist a vertical dilation f_1 and a vertical translation f_2 such that the affine isomorphism $f = f_1 \circ f_2$ satisfies

$$f(\mathcal{T}_{A,B}) = \big\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^2 \pm x_n^2 = c\big\}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{A,B}$ intersects some horizontal hyperplane along a compact set so does $f(\mathcal{T}_{A,B})$. After we remove the cylindrical case we can conclude that $f(\mathcal{T}_{A,B})$ is one of the following quadrics:

Nondegenerate: sphere, tube hyperboloid, convex hyperboloid, cone;
Degenerate: paraboloid.

Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_{A,B}$ is affinely isomorphic to one of the quadrics above. Discarding the cone, on all these hypersurfaces, horizontal cross-sections are spheres and hence the maximality and minimality of B and A, respectively, must be dictated by the condition (1) alone. This implies that $e_n^* \circ F$ must have critical points on both boundaries of the open set $\psi_{A,B}(\Gamma \times (A, B))$. Namely, there exist $x \in \Gamma_A$, $y \in \Gamma_B$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla e_n^* \circ F\big|_x = 0 \quad &\Rightarrow e_n \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ \nabla e_n^* \circ F\big|_y = 0 \quad &\Rightarrow e_n \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(y) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

But among the quadrics listed above, e_n^* has multiple critical points only on the sphere, where it attains both a maximum and a minimum. So we can conclude that $F\big[\operatorname{Clos} \psi_{A,B}(\Gamma \times (A, B))\big]$ equals the complete ellipsoid $\operatorname{Clos} \mathcal{T}_{A,B}$. Since M is connected we must have $F(M) = \operatorname{Clos} \mathcal{T}_{A,B}$.

Case 2: ($-\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = \infty$) In this case $\psi \colon \Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ is an embedding and since $\dim(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}) = \dim M$ the map ψ must be a diffeomorphism onto its image, which is both open and closed in M. Since M is connected $\psi(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}) = M$.

$$\begin{aligned} F(M) = F\big[\psi(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R})\big] &= \bigcup_{r>0} F\big[\psi(\Gamma \times (-r, r))\big] \\ &= \bigcup_{r>0} F(U_{-r,r}) \end{aligned}$$

where $U_{-r,r} = \psi(\Gamma \times (-r, r))$ for each $r > 0$.

For each $r > 0$, $F(U_{-r,r})$ is a transversely convex tube with *cop*. Using Proposition 4.4.1 (Local version) we can conclude that $F(U_{-r,r})$ is either a cylinder over a cental ovaloid with axis $\mathbb{R}e_n$ or a quadric hypersurface of revolution about $\mathbb{R}e_n$. Therefore, $F(U_{-r,r})$ must either be a cylinder over a central ovaloid or a tube hyperboloid for each $r > 0$.

Assume that there exists $r_0 > 0$ and $F(U_{-r_0,r_0})$ is a cylinder over a central ovaloid, then for every $r > r_0$

$$F(U_{-r,r}) \cap \{|e_n^*| < r_0\} = F(U_{-r_0,r_0})$$

and hence $F(U_{-r,r})$ is a cylinder over a central ovaloid. So we can conclude that

$$F(M) = \bigcup_{r>0} F(U_{-r,r})$$

is a cylinder over a central ovaloid. Similarly, if there exists $r_0 > 0$ so that $F(U_{-r_0,r_0})$ is a tube hyperboloid, then $F(M)$ is a again a tube hyperboloid.

Case 3: ($|\mathbf{A}| < \mathbf{B} = \infty$ or $\mathbf{B} < |\mathbf{A}| = \infty$) Since the reflection about $H_{e_n,0}$ is an affine isomorphism, these two cases are equivalent. So we assume $|A| < B = \infty$.

For each $b > 0$

$$\psi_{A,b}\colon \Gamma \times (A, b) \to M \text{ is an embedding}$$
$$U_{A,b} = \psi_{A,b}\big[\Gamma \times (A, b)\big] \text{ is an open subset of } M \text{ and}$$
$$F(U_{A,b}) \text{ is a transversely convex tube with } \textit{cop}.$$

$F(U_{A,b})$ can not be a cylinder over a central ovaloid because we can get, as in **Case 1**, a contradiction to the minimality of A. So we can conclude that for each $b > 0$, $F(U_{A,b})$ is either a spherical paraboloid or a convex hyperboloid. Assume that there exists $b_0 > 0$ so that $F(U_{A,b_0})$ is a spherical paraboloid, then for each $b > b_0$, $F(U_{A,b})$ is again a spherical paraboloid and

$$F\left(\operatorname{Clos} \bigcup_{b>0} U_{A,b}\right)$$

is a complete paraboloid. Since M is connected, $F(M) = F(\operatorname{Clos} \cup_{b>0} U_{A,b})$ and $F(M)$ is a complete paraboloid. Similarly, if there exists $b_0 > 0$ so that $F(U_{A,b_0})$ is a convex hyperboloid, then $F(M)$ is a convex hyperboloid. □

References

[B] Wilhelm Blaschke, *Affine Differentialgeometrie. Differentialgeometrie der Kreis-und Kugelgruppen*. Gesammelte Werke, Band 4, edited by Burau, S.S. Chern, K. Leichtweiß, H.R. Müller, L.A. Santaló, Simon and K.Strubecker, Thales-Verlag, Essen, 1985. 1.2

[F] Herbert Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*. Classics in Mathematics, Springer, 1969. 3, 3.1

[GT] David Gilbarg, Neil S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Classics in Mathematics, Springer, 1998. 4.2.4

[G] Helmut Groemer, *Geometric Applications of Fourier Series and Spherical Harmonics*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **61**, Cambridge University Press, 1996. 4.1.1

[HJ] Jacques Salomon Hadamard, *Les surfaces à courbures opposées et leurs linges géodésiques*. J. Math. Pures Appl. **4** (1898), 27–73. 3.1, 3.1, 4.2.2, 4.2.2

[HM] Morris W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*. Graduate Text in Mathematics **33**, Springer, 1976. 3, 3.2.2

[J] Jürgen Jost, *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*. Universitext, Springer, 2011. 4.2.4

[KN] Shoshichi Kobayashi, Katsumi Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Volume 2*. Interscience Publishers, 1969. 3.1, 3.1, 4.2.2, 4.2.2

[S1] Bruce Solomon, *Central cross-sections make surfaces of revolution quadric*. Amer. Math. Monthly **116** (2009), no. 4, 351–355. 1.2, 1.3, 4, 4.4

[S2] Bruce Solomon, *Surfaces with central convex cross-sections*. Comment. Math. Helv. **87** (2012), no. 2, 243–270. 1.2, 1.3, 4, 4.3

[SR] Rolf Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications **151**, Cambridge University Press, 2014.

2, 2.2.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.5, 4.2.4

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405